arXiv:1403.6084v1 [math.FA] 24 Mar 2014

L^p-TAUBERIAN THEOREMS AND L^p-RATES FOR ENERGY DECAY

CHARLES J.K. BATTY, ALEXANDER BORICHEV, AND YURI TOMILOV

ABSTRACT. We prove L^p-analogues of the classical tauberian theorem of Ingham and Karamata, and its variations giving rates of decay. These results are applied to derive L^p-decay of operator families arising in the study of the decay of energy for damped wave equations and local energy for wave equations in exterior domains. By constructing some examples of critical behaviour we show that the L^p-rates of decay obtained in this way are best possible under our assumptions.

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the basic results in tauberian theory is a theorem due to Ingham [23] and Karamata [24]. It has been used for elementary proofs of the prime number theorem, it has been a precursor for a number of famous results in function theory and operator theory such as theorems of Katznelson-Tzafriri type, and it still provides a link between many different applications of these theories. The result has found its way into many books and papers and become a classic of modern tauberian theory; for a detailed discussion, see [28].

One version of the theorem of Ingham and Karamata reads as follows [4, Theorem 4.4.1], [28, Theorem III.7.1].

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a Banach space and let $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ be such that the Laplace transform $\widehat{f}$ admits an analytic extension to each point of $i\mathbb{R}$. Then the improper integral $\int_0^\infty f(s)\,ds$ exists and equals $\widehat{f}(0)$.*

Date: March 25, 2014.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47D06; Secondary 34D05 34G10 35L05 40E05 44A10.

Key words and phrases. Tauberian theorem, rates, abstract Cauchy problem, energy decay, damped wave equation, Laplace transforms.

The research described in this paper was supported by the EPSRC grant EP/J010723/1. The second author was also partially supported by ANR FRAB. The third author was also partially supported by the NCN grant DEC-2011/03/B/ST1/00407 and by the EU IRSES grant PIRSES-GA-2012-318910, AOS.

Theorem 1.1 can be equipped with rates as the next result shows. For a continuous increasing function $M : \mathbb{R}_+ \to [2, \infty)$, define

$$M_{\log}(s) := M(s)[\log(1 + M(s)) + \log(1 + s)], \tag{1.1}$$

$$\Omega_M := \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} \lambda > -\frac{1}{M(|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|)} \right\}. \tag{1.2}$$

The function $M_{\log}$ is continuous, strictly increasing, with $\lim_{s\to\infty} M_{\log}(s) = \infty$, so it has an inverse function $M_{\log}^{-1}$ defined on $[a, \infty)$ for some $a > 0$. The following was established in [10] (see [4, Theorem 4.4.6]).

Theorem 1.2. *Let $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$, and assume that $\widehat{f}$ extends analytically to Ω_M and the extension satisfies*

$$\|\widehat{f}(\lambda)\| \le M(|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|), \qquad \lambda \in \Omega_M. \tag{1.3}$$

Let $M_{\log}$ be defined as above, and $c \in (0, 1)$. Then there exist positive numbers C and t_0, depending only on $\|f\|_\infty$, M and c, such that

$$\Big\| \widehat{f}(0) - \int_0^t f(s)\, ds \Big\| \le \frac{C}{M_{\log}^{-1}(ct)}, \qquad t \ge t_0. \tag{1.4}$$

There are versions of the Ingham–Karamata theorem allowing for a "small" set of singularities of $\widehat{f}$ on the imaginary axis [3]. The following is the simple case (for example, see [4, Theorem 4.4.8]).

Theorem 1.3. *Let $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ be such that $\widehat{f}$ extends analytically to $i\mathbb{R} \setminus \{ia\}$, for some $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. If*

$$\sup_{t\ge 0} \Big\| \int_0^t e^{-ias} f(s)\, ds \Big\| < \infty,$$

then

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \int_0^t f(s)\, ds = \widehat{f}(0). \tag{1.5}$$

Tauberian theorems for functions are closely related to the study of asymptotics of operator semigroups. In fact, the tauberian theory, especially contour integral methods, laid the ground for various methods in stability theory of operator semigroups. Recently, the Ingham–Karamata theorem and the Korevaar–Neumann technique around it gave an impetus to the study of tauberian theorems with rates and their applications to partial differential equations (PDEs). They have been applied successfully to obtain rates of decay of classical solutions of abstract Cauchy problems (1.6). For recent applications of abstract results to the study of decay of solutions to PDEs, see, for example, the relevant references in [9]. In particular, energy decay for damped wave and wave equations was treated by resolvent methods in [2], [15], [16], [30], [31], [19] and [21].

To put tauberian theorems into the abstract framework of operator semigroups, let us consider the abstract Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \dot{u}(t) = Au(t), & t \geq 0, \\ u(0) = x, & x \in X, \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

where A is the generator of a C_0-semigroup $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ on a Banach space X. Since many PDEs arising from concrete models can be written in this form, the Cauchy problem (1.6) is a classical subject of functional analysis having numerous applications to partial differential equations.

Since the resolvent of the generator is often easier to compute than the semigroup, it is efficient to use the resolvent and its fine behaviour in the right half-plane to study the asymptotic behaviour of solutions to (1.6) with particular regard to various kinds of stability in situations which arise from PDEs. A number of resolvent criteria for asymptotic and exponential stability are known in this context (see [4, Chapter 5], [17] and [34]).

In particular, starting from [30] such methods have proved to be successful in dealing with the damped wave equation

$$\begin{aligned} u_{tt} + a(x)u_t - \Delta u = 0 \quad &\text{in } \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathcal{M}, \\ u = 0 \quad &\text{in } \mathbb{R}_+ \times \partial\mathcal{M}, \\ u(0,\cdot) = u_0 \ &\text{in } \mathcal{M}, \\ u_t(0,\cdot) = u_1 \ &\text{in } \mathcal{M}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

Here $\mathcal{M}$ is a smooth, compact, connected Riemannian manifold, Δ is the Laplace–Beltrami operator on $\mathcal{M}$, and $a \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$, $a \geq 0$. The energy $E(u,t)$ of the solution u to the problem (1.7) is defined by

$$E(u,t) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|^2_{L^2(M)} + \|u_t(t)\|^2_{L^2(M)}\right).$$

If a is strictly positive on an open subset of $\mathcal{M}$, then $E(u,t)$ decays to zero as $t \to \infty$. One of the primary tasks in the study of (1.7) is to quantify the rate of energy decay, that is to determine $r(t)$ such that

$$E(u,t) \leq r(t)^2 E(u,0), \qquad t \geq 0, \tag{1.8}$$

for all initial values u_0, u_1 from appropriate spaces. Let us assume, for definiteness, that $\partial\mathcal{M} \neq \emptyset$. Then the wave equation can be rewritten as a first order Cauchy problem (1.6) in the Hilbert space $X := H^1_0(\mathcal{M}) \times L^2(\mathcal{M})$ with A given by

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} O & I \\ \Delta & -a \end{pmatrix} \tag{1.9}$$

on the domain

$$D(A) = (H^2(\mathcal{M}) \cap H^1_0(\mathcal{M})) \times H^1_0(\mathcal{M}). \tag{1.10}$$

The operator A is invertible, and it generates a non-analytic contraction semigroup $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ such that

$$c\|T(t)x\|_X^2 \leq E(u,t) \leq C\|T(t)x\|_X^2$$

where $x = (u_0, u_1)$ and c, C are positive constants. Thus any estimate of the rate of decay for the semigroup is an estimate for the decay of the energy of the system. More specifically, let $r : [2,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ be a continuous decreasing function. Then, following (1.8), we will say that the equation (1.7) is *stable at rate* $r(t)$ if $E(u,t)^{1/2} \leq Cr(t)\|A(u_0,u_1)\|$ for all $(u_0,u_1) \in D(A)$, in other words if $\|T(t)A^{-1}\| \leq Cr(t)$.

Moreover, an extensive line of research stemming from classical works by Lax, Phillips, Melrose, Morawetz, Sjostrand, Strauss and many others concerns the *local* energy decay of solutions to wave equations in exterior domains. This situation arises when $a \equiv 0$ in (1.7) and $M = \mathbb{R}^n \backslash \overline{K}$, where K is a bounded domain with smooth boundary called an *obstacle*. In abstract terms, one is led to the study of the norm decay of operator families

$$U_{T_1,T_2}(t) := T_1 U(t) T_2, \tag{1.11}$$

where T_1 and T_2 are the operators of multiplication by cut-off functions, and $(U(t))_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ is the unitary group on X generated by the operator matrix

$$G := \begin{pmatrix} O & I \\ \Delta & O \end{pmatrix}$$

with $D(G) = D(A)$. From the enormous number of papers on local energy decay we just mention the pioneering work [15], and [11] and [19], as samples where resolvent and Laplace transform techniques led to efficient estimates for the decay of U_{T_1,T_2}. A good historical account of the decay of local energy can be found in [15] and [19].

To establish one of the links between tauberian and semigroup theories, note that if $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is a bounded C_0-semigroup on a Banach space X with invertible generator A, then setting $f(t) = T(t)x$ for $t \geq 0$, we infer that $\widehat{f}$ has a holomorphic extension at 0 and for any regular point μ of A,

$$\begin{aligned} T(t)R(\mu,A)x &= R(\mu,A)x + AR(\mu,A)\int_0^t f(s)\,ds \\ &= AR(\mu,A)\left(\int_0^t f(s)\,ds - \widehat{f}(0)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by the resolvent equation, the rate of decay of $T(t)R(\mu,A)$ is independent of μ up to a multiplicative constant. Thus a tauberian theorem for functions such as Theorem 1.1 or 1.2 above yields a corresponding statement for the decay of classical solutions (and related operator families) of the abstract Cauchy problem (1.6). In particular, the following result can be obtained in this way (see [4, Theorem 4.4.14]).

Theorem 1.4. *Let A be the generator of a bounded C_0-semigroup $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ on a Banach space X, and let $\mu \in \rho(A)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) $\sigma(A) \cap i\mathbb{R}$ *is empty,*
(ii) $\lim_{t\to\infty} \|T(t)R(\mu, A)\| = 0$.

Moreover, if (i) *holds,*

$$M(s) := \sup\{\|(ir + A)^{-1}\| : |r| \le s\}, \qquad s \ge 0,$$

the function $M_{\log}$ *is defined by* (1.1)*, and* M^{-1} *is any right inverse for* M*, then there exist positive constants* C, C', c, c' *such that*

$$\frac{c'}{M^{-1}(C't)} \le \|T(t)A^{-1}\| \le \frac{C}{M_{\log}^{-1}(ct)} \tag{1.12}$$

for all sufficiently large t.

A natural and important question is whether the upper bound in (1.12) can be improved to match the lower bound. This is indeed possible in some cases, where M and X are particularly tractable, as the following result from [12] shows.

Theorem 1.5. *Let* $(T(t))_{t\ge0}$ *be a bounded* C_0*-semigroup on a Hilbert space* X*, with generator* A*. Assume that* $\sigma(A) \cap i\mathbb{R}$ *is empty, and fix* $\alpha > 0$*. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) $\|R(is, A)\| = \mathrm{O}(|s|^\alpha), \qquad |s| \to \infty.$
(ii) $\|T(t)A^{-1}\| = \mathrm{O}(t^{-1/\alpha}), \qquad t \to \infty.$

More general, and optimal or close to optimal, versions of Theorem 1.5 with the bound $|s|^\alpha$ in (i) replaced by a bound of the form $|s|^\alpha \ell(|s|)$, where ℓ is a slowly varying function, (for example, the bounds $|s|^\alpha(\log|s|)^{\pm\beta}$) were obtained recently in [9].

However, it was shown in [12] that the estimates in (1.12) are sharp for polynomial rates on Banach spaces, and the logarithmic gap between $M_{\log}$ and M is unavoidable in that case. Moreover, for some M, for example $M(s) = (1+\log|s|)^\alpha$ where $0 < \alpha < 1$, an estimate of the form $\|T(t)A^{-1}\| \le C/M^{-1}(ct)$ cannot hold even on Hilbert spaces (see [9, Proposition 5.1]).

The Ingham–Karamata theorem and its variants in the literature, and their operator counterparts, concern bounded functions and bounded operator semigroups. The aim of the present paper is to provide similar theorems in the framework of L^p-spaces, with the case $p = \infty$ reproducing the earlier results. Such results have not been available in the literature so far. We show that our theorems are best possible, at least in several important cases. Apart from the contribution to tauberian theory, the paper gives several applications of its function-theoretic framework to operator models of PDEs in general and to damped wave equations in particular. While the consequences of this approach for asymptotics of operator semigroups are rather trivial, this nevertheless leads to new results on asymptotic behaviour of the cut-off operator families defined in (1.11), and thus on decay of local energy for wave and similar equations. There are many results on decay of local energy in both abstract and concrete settings. However, our approach

to measuring the size of local energy in the L^p-sense seems to be new, and we are not aware of any papers in that direction. This approach leads also to new information on energy decay for the damped wave equation as well, see Theorem 6.3 and Example 6.4.

In particular, our new point of view provides the following L^p-version of Theorem 1.2 (see Theorem 4.1).

Theorem 1.6. *Let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$, where $1 \le p \le \infty$, and assume that $\widehat{f}$ extends analytically to Ω_M and satisfies*

$$\|\widehat{f}(\lambda)\| \le M(|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|), \qquad \lambda \in \Omega_M. \tag{1.13}$$

Then there exists $c > 0$, depending only on p, such that the function

$$t \mapsto M_{\log}^{-1}(ct)\Big(\widehat{f}(0) - \int_0^t f(s)\,ds\Big)$$

belongs to $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$.

Theorem 1.6 remains true when (1.13) is weakened somewhat (see Theorem 4.1).

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we set up our notation and terminology and prove several auxiliary estimates for the function $M_{\log}$ (given by (1.1)) which will frequently be used in the sequel. The L^p-version of the Ingham–Karamata tauberian theorem is presented in Section 3. In Section 4, we prove a weighted L^p-version of the Ingham–Karamata theorem, Theorem 4.1, with the weight determined in terms of growth bounds on Laplace transforms in appropriate regions. In Theorem 4.3 we give an L^p-version of Theorem 1.3 in a quantified form, which is a new feature even for $p = \infty$. Section 5 provides an auxiliary function-theoretical construction showing that Theorem 4.1 is sharp, at least for polynomial and logarithmic rates. In Proposition 5.2, we construct certain complex measures with a good control of their Laplace transforms and of related functions, while in Theorem 5.1, we use the Laplace transforms of these measures as building blocks to give an explicit example illustrating optimality of Theorem 4.1. Operator-theoretical applications of our L^p tauberian theorems to orbits of C_0-semigroups and cut-off semigroups as in (1.11) are contained in Section 6. In this section, we prove two abstract theorems 6.1 and 6.3. Moreover, as a consequence of Theorem 6.3, we obtain a new result, Corollary 6.5, on the asymptotics of solutions to damped wave equations on manifolds. Theorems 7.1 and 7.2 given in Section 7 show that our abstract results are optimal as well.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, X will be a complex Banach space, and sometimes it will be a Hilbert space. We let $\mathcal{L}(X)$ denote the space of all bounded linear operators on Banach space X, and the identity operator will be denoted by I. If A is an (unbounded) linear operator on X, we denote the domain of

A by $D(A)$, the spectrum of A by $\sigma(A)$, the resolvent set by $\rho(A)$, and the resolvent of A by $R(\lambda, A) := (\lambda - A)^{-1}$.

We shall write $\mathbb{C}_+ := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}\lambda > 0\}$, $\mathbb{C}^+ := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im}\lambda > 0\}$, $\mathbb{C}_- := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}\lambda < 0\}$, $\mathbb{R}_+ := [0, \infty)$, and $\mathbb{R}_- := (-\infty, 0]$. The characteristic function of a set $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ will be denoted by χ_E. We shall use C and c to denote (strictly) positive constants, whose values may change from place to place.

We shall consider many functions f defined on $\mathbb{R}_+$ with values in $(0, \infty)$, $\mathbb{C}$ or a Banach space X. The Laplace transform of a measurable Laplace transformable function f will be denoted by $\widehat{f}$. Then $\widehat{f}$ is holomorphic on a right half-plane (usually $\mathbb{C}_+$ in this paper). We shall use the same notation $\widehat{f}$ for any holomorphic extension to a larger connected open set. For functions f, g with values in $(0, \infty)$, the notation $f \asymp g$ means that $cg \le f \le Cg$ for some positive c, C, while $f \sim g$ means that $\lim_{t\to\infty} f(t)/g(t) = 1$.

We shall use certain weighted L^p-spaces on $\mathbb{R}_+$. Let $w : \mathbb{R}_+ \to (0, \infty)$ be a measurable function. For a function $g : \mathbb{R}_+ \to X$, we shall write that $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, w, X)$ to mean that $g \cdot w \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$. We shall write

$$\|g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, w, X)} = \|g \cdot w\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, X)}.$$

Our weights w will always be increasing functions, so we may refer to such a statement as saying that is an *L^p-rate* of decay for g. The precise form of w on any interval $[0, a]$ is unimportant in such statements.

Throughout this paper as in the Introduction, we shall let M be a continuous increasing function from $\mathbb{R}_+$ to $[2, \infty)$, and $M_{\log}$ and Ω_M will be defined by (1.1) and (1.2). Thus $M_{\log}$ is continuous and strictly increasing on $\mathbb{R}_+$, with an inverse function $M_{\log}^{-1}$ defined on $[M_{\log}(0), \infty)$. Since we are interested only in long-time asymptotic behaviour, the values of M on any interval $[0, s_0]$ will be unimportant.

The conclusion of Theorem 1.2 establishes that w is an L^∞-rate of decay for g, where

$$g(t) = \widehat{f}(0) - \int_0^t f(s)\, ds, \qquad w(t) = M_{\log}^{-1}(ct).$$

Here the weight w is not defined on an interval of the form $[0, t_0]$. This is unimportant for the discussion of L^p-rates, but for definiteness we define a weight $w_{M,\log}$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$ by

$$w_{M,\log}(t) = \begin{cases} M_{\log}^{-1}(t) & t \ge M_{\log}(1), \\ 1 & 0 \le t \le M_{\log}(1). \end{cases}$$

In Theorem 1.2 and in the main results of this paper, the L^p-rates of decay correspond to weights of the form $w(t) = w_{M,\log}(kt)$ where k is a constant depending on certain parameters. For many functions M, one has $w_{M,\log}(kt) \asymp w_{M,\log}(t)$, so such rates of decay are independent of k.

Now we establish a few properties of the functions $M_{\log}$ and $w_{M,\log}$. We write $R(t) = w_{M,\log}(t)$. Then, for $t \geq M_{\log}(1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} t = M_{\log}(R(t)) &= M(R(t))\Big(\log(1+R(t)) + \log\big(1+M(R(t))\big)\Big) \\ &\geq M(R(t))\log\big(1+M(R(t))\big). \end{aligned}$$

Since the inverse of $s \mapsto s\log(1+s)$ is asymptotically equivalent to $t \mapsto t(\log t)^{-1}$ as $t \to \infty$, it follows that

$$M(R(t)) \leq \frac{Ct}{\log t} \tag{2.1}$$

for all sufficiently large t, and then for all $t \geq 2$. If $M(s) \geq \kappa s^\alpha$ for some $\kappa, \alpha > 0$, we also have

$$t \leq CM(R(t))\log\big(1+M(R(t))\big)$$

and then

$$M(R(t)) \geq \frac{ct}{\log t} \tag{2.2}$$

for all sufficiently large t, and then for all $t \geq 2$.

The estimate (2.2) may fail if M grows slowly, for example if $M(s) = \log(2+s)$. However there is an alternative estimate. Let $\alpha > 0$ and take ε with $0 < \varepsilon < \min(\alpha, 1)$. For all sufficiently large t,

$$\begin{aligned} R(t)^\alpha M(R(t)) &\geq R(t)^{\alpha-1}\big[R(t)M(R(t))\log\big(R(t)M(R(t))\big)\big]^{1-\varepsilon} \\ &\geq cR(t)^{\alpha-\varepsilon}t^{1-\varepsilon} \geq ct^{1-\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\int_0^\infty R(t)^{-\alpha}M(R(t))^{-\beta}\,dt < \infty \tag{2.3}$$

if $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 1$.

3. The Ingham–Karamata theorem in the L^p-setting

First we need a very simple auxiliary estimate.

Lemma 3.1. *One has*

$$\int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} e^{-t\cos\theta}\cos\theta\,d\theta \leq \frac{C}{1+t^2}, \qquad t \geq 0. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. It suffices to note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} e^{-t\cos\theta}\cos\theta\,d\theta &= 2\int_0^{\pi/2} e^{-t\sin\theta}\sin\theta\,d\theta \\ &\leq 2\int_0^{\pi/2} e^{-2t\theta/\pi}\theta\,d\theta < \frac{\pi^2}{2t^2}. \end{aligned}$$

□

For $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y > 0$, let $P_y(s) = \frac{y}{\pi(s^2+y^2)}$ be the Poisson kernel for the upper half-plane. For $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ where $1 \le p \le \infty$, the Poisson integral is defined by

$$(P_y * f)(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y}{s^2+y^2} f(x+s)\, ds.$$

Recall that $\|P_y * f\|_{L^p} \le \|f\|_{L^p}$ for every $y > 0$ [27, Section VI.B].

The following statement is our L^p-version of the Ingham–Karamata Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$, where $1 \le p \le \infty$. Assume that $\widehat{f}$ admits an analytic extension to a neighbourhood of 0 in $\mathbb{C}$, and let*

$$g(t) = \widehat{f}(0) - \int_0^t f(s)\, ds.$$

Then $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$. If $p < \infty$, then $g \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ and $g \in L^r(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ whenever $p \le r \le \infty$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\widehat{f}(0) = 0$. Otherwise, we may consider $f - \chi_{[0,1]}\widehat{f}(0)$ instead of f. By assumption $\widehat{f}$ extends analytically to a simply connected domain $\Omega \supset \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \{0\}$. Take any integer $n \ge 2$, and $R > 0$ such that $[-iR, iR] \subset \Omega$. By the Cauchy integral formula, we have

$$g(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma \Big(1 + \frac{z^2}{R^2}\Big)^n \Big(\widehat{f}(z) - \int_0^t e^{-zs} f(s)\, ds\Big) e^{zt}\, \frac{dz}{z}, \quad t \ge 0, \tag{3.2}$$

for any contour $\gamma \subset \Omega$ around 0. By choosing the contour to include a semi-circle of radius R in $\mathbb{C}_+$ and then using the fact that the function $z \mapsto \int_0^t e^{-zs} f(s)\, ds$ is entire and the function $z \mapsto \widehat{f}(z)/z$ is analytic on $[-iR, iR]$, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi\|g(t)\| &\le \Big\| \int_{\gamma_1} \Big(1 + \frac{z^2}{R^2}\Big)^n \Big(\widehat{f}(z) - \int_0^t e^{-zs} f(s)\, ds\Big) e^{zt}\, \frac{dz}{z} \Big\| \\ &+ \Big\| \int_{\gamma_2} \Big(1 + \frac{z^2}{R^2}\Big)^n \Big(\int_0^t e^{-zs} f(s)\, ds\Big) e^{zt}\, \frac{dz}{z} \Big\| + \Big\| \int_I \Big(1 + \frac{z^2}{R^2}\Big)^n \widehat{f}(z) e^{zt}\, \frac{dz}{z} \Big\| \\ &=: J_1(t) + J_2(t) + J_3(t), \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where $\gamma_1 = R\mathbb{T} \cap \mathbb{C}_+$, $\gamma_2 = R\mathbb{T} \cap \mathbb{C}_-$, and $I = [-iR, iR]$.

We estimate each of $J_1(t)$, $J_2(t)$ and $J_3(t)$ separately. To estimate $J_1(t)$ we observe that for $z = Re^{i\theta}$,

$$\Big|1 + \frac{z^2}{R^2}\Big| = 2|\cos\theta|.$$

Hence by (3.1),

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(t) &\le C\int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2}\Big(\int_0^\infty e^{-Rs\cos\theta}\|f(s+t)\|\,ds\Big)\cos\theta\,d\theta \\ &\le C\int_0^\infty \frac{\|f(s+t)\|}{R^2s^2+1}\,ds \\ &\le \frac{C}{R}\big(P_{1/R}*h\big)(t), \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$h(s)=\begin{cases}\|f(s)\|, & s\ge 0,\\ 0, & s<0.\end{cases}$$

Thus,

$$\|J_1\|_{L^p}\le \frac{C}{R}\|f\|_{L^p}. \tag{3.5}$$

We can estimate $J_2(t)$ in a similar way:

$$\begin{aligned} J_2(t) &\le C\int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2}\Big(\int_0^t e^{-Rs\cos\theta}\|f(t-s)\|\,ds\Big)\cos\theta\,d\theta \\ &\le C\int_0^t \frac{\|f(t-s)\|}{R^2s^2+1}\,ds \\ &\le \frac{C}{R}\big(P_{1/R}*h\big)(t), \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

so that

$$\|J_2\|_{L^p}\le \frac{C}{R}\|f\|_{L^p}. \tag{3.7}$$

Finally, integrating by parts twice and using that $1+z^2/R^2$ vanishes at $-iR$ and at iR, we obtain that

$$J_3(t)=\Big\|\frac{1}{t^2}\int_{-R}^{R}\varphi''(s)e^{ist}\,ds\Big\|\le\frac{C_{R,f}}{t^2}, \tag{3.8}$$

where $\varphi(s)=(1-s^2/R^2)^n\widehat{f}(is)/s$. Since J_3 is bounded on $(0,1)$, the inequalities (3.5), (3.7) and (3.8) imply that $g\in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+,X)$.

If $1<p<\infty$, then g is Hölder continuous of exponent $1-p^{-1}$ and in particular g is uniformly continuous. Since $g\in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+,X)$, it follows that $g\in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+,X)$. If $p=1$, then $\lim_{t\to\infty}g(t)$ exists. Since $g\in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+,X)$ it follows that the value of the limit is 0. In each case g is a bounded function in $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+,X)$, so it is also in $L^r(\mathbb{R}_+,X)$ when $p\le r\le\infty$. □

When $p=\infty$, we cannot conclude that $g\in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+,X)$ in Theorem 3.2 (for example, let $f(t)=e^{it}$, so $\widehat{f}(\lambda)=(\lambda-i)^{-1}$ and $g(t)=ie^{it}$). In this case one needs further assumptions to conclude that $g\in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+,X)$. See Theorem 1.3 and [3] for results of this type.

4. L^p-tauberian theorems with rates

In this section we give a quantified version of Theorem 3.2. Under the assumptions of that result, the value of R in the proof is confined to a small range. If $\widehat{f}$ extends analytically across the whole of $i\mathbb{R}$, then R can be chosen to be arbitrarily large and to depend on t. Under additional assumptions on the domain Ω, where $\widehat{f}$ extends analytically, and on the growth of $\widehat{f}$ in Ω, we show that $g(t) := \widehat{f}(0) - \int_0^t f(s)\,ds$ belongs to a certain weighted L^p-space with a weight w determined in terms of the shape of Ω and the bound for $\widehat{f}$ in Ω.

When $p = \infty$, the appropriate quantified version of Theorem 3.2 is Theorem 1.2. The condition (1.3) involves the function M to measure both the shape of the region Ω_M to which $\widehat{f}$ can be extended and as a bound for the resolvent. However these two factors do not always play an equal role, and the shape has more effect when M grows slowly. Examination of the proof of Theorem 1.2 in [10] or [4, Theorem 4.4.6] shows that (1.3) can be replaced by

$$\|\widehat{f}(\lambda)\| \le K(1 + |\operatorname{Im}\lambda|)M_{\log}(|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|), \qquad \lambda \in \Omega_M.$$

Here K can be any constant, and the constant C in (1.4) may depend on K. This condition is certainly satisfied if $\widehat{f}$ grows slower than linearly, so the rate in (1.4) is independent of the rate of growth so long as it is sublinear and the region is fixed.

Now assume that

$$\|\widehat{f}(\lambda)\| \le M_2(|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|), \qquad \lambda \in \Omega_{M_1},$$

where $M_1(s) = K_1(1+s)^\alpha$ for some K_1 and $\alpha > 0$, and M_2 is any increasing continuous function. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\|\widehat{f}(\lambda)\| \le C_\varepsilon(M_2(1 + |\operatorname{Im}\lambda|))^\varepsilon(1 + |\operatorname{Im}\lambda|)^\alpha, \qquad \lambda \in \Omega_{C_\varepsilon M_1}.$$

This is proved in [12, Lemma 3.4] in the case when $M_2(s) = K_2(1+s)^\beta$ and the general proof is almost the same. Instead of applying Theorem 1.2 with $M(s) = \max(M_1(s), M_2(s))$ one can apply it with

$$M(s) = C'_\varepsilon(M_2(1+s))^\varepsilon(1+s)^\alpha,$$

where C'_ε grows polynomially as $\varepsilon \to 0+$. If $M_2(s) = K_2(1+s)^\beta$ where $\beta > \alpha$ this improves the estimate (1.4) for $g(t) := \widehat{f}(0) - \int_0^t f(s)\,ds$ from $\|g(t)\| = O(t^{-1/\beta})$ to $\|g(t)\| = o(t^{-\gamma})$ for each $\gamma < 1/\alpha$. If M_2 grows exponentially, it improves the estimate from $\|g(t)\| = O((\log t)^{-1})$ to $\|g(t)\| = o((\log t)^{-1})$.

On the other hand, when $f(\lambda)$ is the resolvent $R(\lambda, A)$ of the generator A of a bounded C_0-semigroup, it is rather straightforward that it suffices that (1.3) holds for $\lambda \in i\mathbb{R}$. Indeed, if $\|R(is, A)\| \le M(|s|)$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$, then the Neumann series expansion shows that, for any $a > 1$, the region Ω_{aM} is contained in the resolvent set of A and $\|R(\lambda, A)\| \le \frac{a}{a-1}M(|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|)$ for $\lambda \in \Omega_{aM}$. So in this case it is natural to describe the region and the

resolvent growth by the same function M, up to constant multiples. We shall return to this situation in Section 6.

In general, in Theorems 4.1 and 4.3 below, one can assume that the region Ω_M and the growth of $\widehat{f}$ in Ω_M at infinity, or near a singularity, are determined by two different functions M_1 and M_2 respectively (taking into account the interplay between these two mentioned above). The approach in this paper then estimates the rate of decay by a weight w which is constrained by M_1 and M_2. As indicated by Theorems 1.5 and 5.1, given that $M_1 = M$ a natural weight is $w = w_{M,\log}$. In Theorems 4.1 and 4.3 below, we give the most general form of M_2 known to us that allows the conclusion for the weight $w_{M,\log}$. In other situations where M_2 has much faster or much slower growth our methods could produce a different weight w. However our results would become more technical and less transparent and, in this paper, we do not see any gain in such generality.

Theorem 4.1 below extends Theorem 1.2 to include cases when $1 \le p < \infty$ and by allowing a slightly more general form of (1.3) in which M_2 is allowed to grow as fast as a polynomial function of M_1 and s. In Theorem 4.3 we give a quantified version of Theorem 1.3, which is new even for $p = \infty$, to the best of our knowledge. In the next section we shall show that Theorem 4.1 is optimal in a strong sense which will be made precise.

To prove Theorem 4.1, we will need a few very basic facts on Carleson measures, which can be found in [22], for example. Recall that, if $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$, $P_y * f$ is the Poisson integral of f, and σ is a Carleson measure on the upper half-plane $\mathbb{C}^+$, then by the Carleson embedding theorem (see [22, Theorem I.5.6]),

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^+} |(P_y * f)(z)|^p \, d\sigma(z) \le C_p \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(s)|^p \, ds, \qquad z = x + iy. \tag{4.1}$$

An example of such a measure is given by $\sigma(B) = l(B \cap \Gamma)$ for Borel subsets B of $\mathbb{C}_+$, where l is the Lebesgue length measure on a curve $\Gamma = \{t + i\gamma(t) : t \in [a, \infty)\}$, satisfying

$$l(\Gamma(t, \varepsilon)) \le C\varepsilon, \qquad t \ge a, \varepsilon > 0,$$

where $\Gamma(t, \varepsilon) = \{s + i\gamma(s) : |s - t| < \varepsilon\}$. Such curves are often called *Carleson curves*, see [13]. Note that Γ is a Carleson curve if $\gamma \in C^1([a, \infty))$ and $|\gamma'(t)| \le C, t \in [a, \infty)$, for some $C > 0$; or if γ is bounded and monotonic.

The proof will use some of the ingredients of Theorem 3.2, with the parameter $R := R(t)$ now being chosen to depend on t. The Carleson embedding (4.1) will be combined with (3.4) and (3.6) to estimate L^p-norms of J_1 and J_2. However, in order to use (3.8) the growth of $R(t)$ has to be slow, and then the estimates for J_1 and J_2 become inefficient. Consequently the proof requires a more delicate choice of contour, and this follows the same lines as [10] (see also [4, Theorem 4.4.14]).

Theorem 4.1. *Let X be a Banach space, and let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ where $1 \le p \le \infty$. Let $M : \mathbb{R}_+ \to [2, \infty)$ be a continuous, increasing function such*

that $\widehat{f}$ admits an analytic extension to Ω_M and

$$\|\widehat{f}(z)\| \le K(1+|\operatorname{Im} z|)^\alpha M(|\operatorname{Im} z|)^\beta, \qquad z \in \Omega_M, \tag{4.2}$$

for some non-negative K, α and β. Let

$$g(t) = \widehat{f}(0) - \int_0^t f(s)\,ds.$$

Then

$$g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, w, X) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}_+, X),$$

where $w(t) = w_{M,\log}(kt)$ for some $k > 0$ depending only on α, β and p. Moreover there is a number C depending only on p, M, K, α, β, such that

$$\|g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+,w,X)} \le C\|f\|_p. \tag{4.3}$$

Proof. We shall present the proof for the case when $p < \infty$. The changes required for $p = \infty$ are straightforward, and most of the proof in that case is the same as in [10] and [4, Theorem 4.4.6].

Without loss of generality we assume that $\widehat{f}$ is continuous up to $\partial\Omega$. This can be arranged by replacing M by aM, where $a > 1$. For $t > 0$ we shall use (3.2) for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ to be chosen later, and

$$R = R(t) = w_{M,\log}(kt)$$

for some (small) positive number k to be chosen later.

Let $\gamma_1 = R\mathbb{T} \cap \mathbb{C}_+$, $\gamma_2 = R\mathbb{T} \cap \mathbb{C}_-$, $\gamma_3 = (\pm iR + \mathbb{R}) \cap \Omega \cap \mathbb{C}_-$, $\gamma_4 = \{z \in \partial\Omega : |\operatorname{Im} z| < R\}$. We shall apply (3.2), and also (3.3) in an adjusted form where the interval $I = [-iR, iR]$ is replaced by $\gamma_3 \cup \gamma_4$. Then

$$2\pi\|g(t)\| \le J_1(t) + J_2(t) + I_3(t) + I_4(t),$$

where $J_1(t)$ and $J_2(t)$ are as in the proof of Theorem 3.2, and

$$I_j(t) = \Big\| \int_{\gamma_j} \Big(1 + \frac{z^2}{R^2}\Big)^n \widehat{f}(z) e^{zt}\,\frac{dz}{z} \Big\|, \qquad j = 3, 4.$$

Now, by (3.4) and (4.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty J_1(t)^p w_{M,\log}(kt)^p\,dt &\le c\int_0^\infty \frac{(P_{1/R(t)} * h)(t)^p}{R(t)^p} w_{M,\log}(kt)^p\,dt \\ &= c\int_0^\infty (P_{1/R(t)} * h)(t)^p\,dt \le c\int_{\mathbb{R}} h(t)^p\,dt \;=\; c\|f\|_{L^p}^p, \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

where $h = \|f\|_X$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$, $h = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}_-$. Here we have used (4.1) which depends on $\Gamma := \{t + i/R(t) : t \ge 0\}$ being a Carleson curve, and that follows from monotonicity of R. Similarly, (3.6) leads to

$$\int_0^\infty J_2(t)^p w_{M,\log}(kt)^p\,dt \le C\|f\|_{L^p}^p. \tag{4.5}$$

Furthermore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(4.6)\quad & I_3(t) \\
&= \Big\| \int_0^{1/M(R(t))} \Big(1 + \frac{(-s \pm iR(t))^2}{R(t)^2}\Big)^n \frac{\widehat{f}(-s \pm iR(t)) e^{(-s \pm iR(t))t}}{-s \pm iR(t)}\, ds \Big\| \\
&\le C \int_0^{1/M(R(t))} \frac{R(t)^\alpha M(R(t))^\beta s^n}{R(t)^{n+1}}\, ds \le \frac{C}{R(t)^{n+1-\alpha} M(R(t))^{n+1-\beta}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

If $n > \alpha$ and $n > \beta - 1 + 1/p$ we obtain from (2.3) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(4.7)\quad & \int_0^\infty I_3(t)^p\, w_{M,\log}(kt)^p\, dt \\
&\qquad\qquad \le C \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{M(R(t))^{(n+1-\beta)p} R(t)^{(n-\alpha)p}} < \infty.
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, for $z \in \gamma_4$, $z = -1/M(|s|) + is$ where $|s| \le R(t)$, $|\widehat{f}(z)| \le KR(t)^\alpha M(R(t))^\beta$, and

$$
\left|e^{zt}\right| = \exp\left(-t/M(|s|)\right) \le \exp\left(-t/M(R(t))\right).
$$

Moreover, $|1 + z^2/R(t)^2|$ and $|z^{-1}|$ are bounded independently of t, and the length of γ_4 is at most $2 + 2R(t) \le 4R(t)$. Hence

$$
(4.8)\qquad I_4(t) \le 4KR(t)^{\alpha+1} M(R(t))^\beta \exp\left(-t/M(R(t))\right).
$$

For $t \ge k^{-1} M_{\log}(1)$, we have $M_{\log}(R(t)) = kt$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_4(t) &\le 4KR(t)^{\alpha+1} M(R(t))^\beta \exp\left(-k^{-1} \log(R(t) M(R(t)))\right) \\
&= 4KR(t)^{-k^{-1}+\alpha+1} M(R(t))^{-k^{-1}+\beta}.
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from (2.3) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(4.9)\quad & \int_0^\infty I_4(t)^p\, w_{M,\log}(kt)^p\, dt \\
&\qquad\qquad \le c + c \int_0^\infty \frac{R(t)^p}{R(t)^{p(k^{-1}-\alpha-1)} M(R(t))^{p(k^{-1}-\beta)}}\, dt < \infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

if $k < (\alpha+2)^{-1}$ and $k < (\beta+1)^{-1}$. Given any such k, (4.4), (4.5), (4.7) and (4.9) show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\infty \|g(t)\|^p w_{M,\log}(kt)^p\, dt \\
&\qquad \le c \int_0^\infty (J_1(t) + J_2(t) + I_3(t) + I_4(t))^p w_{M,\log}(kt)^p\, dt \le C < \infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

for some C depending only on $p, M, K, k, \alpha, \beta$ and $\|f\|_{L^p}$. It follows that $g \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ as in the proof of Theorem 3.2. □

Problem 4.2. In the context of Theorem 4.1 is it always true that $\lim_{t\to\infty} \|g(t)\| w_{M,\log}(kt) = 0$?

Next we want to give a quantified version of Theorem 1.3 in the L^p-setting. To the best our knowledge, Theorem 4.3 is new even in the case when $p = \infty$. Martinez [33] has given a quantified result in the L^∞-setting, but she estimates a rate of convergence in a limit which is different from (1.5).

Let $\eta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $M : [0, \infty) \to [2, \infty)$ be a continuous, increasing function. We assume, without loss of generality, that M is constant on $[0, |\eta| + 1]$. Define

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{M}_\eta(s) &= \max\big(M(|s|), M\big(|s-\eta|^{-1}\big)\big), \qquad s \in \mathbb{R}, s \neq \eta, \\
&= \begin{cases} M(|s|), & |s-\eta| \geq 1, \\ M(|s-\eta|^{-1}), & 0 < |s-\eta| \leq 1, \end{cases} \\
\widetilde{\Omega}_{M,\eta} &= \Big\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im} z \neq \eta, \operatorname{Re} z > -\frac{1}{\widetilde{M}_\eta(\operatorname{Im} z)} \Big\} \cup \{ s + i\eta : s > 0 \}.
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.3. *Let X be a Banach space, and $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ where $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Let*

$$F(t) = \int_0^t e^{-i\eta s} f(s)\, ds.$$

Assume that $F \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ and that $\widehat{f}$ admits an analytic extension to $\widetilde{\Omega}_{M,\eta}$ satisfying the following for all $z \in \widetilde{\Omega}_{M,\eta}$, and for some c and $\beta \geq 0$:

$$\|\widehat{f}(z)\| \leq \begin{cases} cM(|\operatorname{Im} z|)^\beta, & |\operatorname{Im} z - \eta| \geq 1, \\ cM(|\operatorname{Im} z - \eta|^{-1})^\beta, & 0 < |\operatorname{Im} z - \eta| \leq 1. \end{cases} \tag{4.10}$$

Let

$$g(t) = \widehat{f}(0) - \int_0^t f(s)\, ds.$$

(a) *If $M(s) \geq \kappa s^\alpha$ for some $\alpha > 1, \kappa > 0$, then there exists $k > 0$ such that $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, w, X)$ where $w(t) = w_{M,\log}(kt)$.*
(b) *If $M(s) = \max(c_1, c_2 s)$ for some $c_1, c_2 > 0$ and $w(t) = t^\gamma$ for some $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, then $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, w, X)$.*

Proof. The proof of this combines ideas from the proof of Theorem 4.1 above with ideas from [3] (see [4, Theorem 4.4.8]). In place of (3.2), we use a formula

$$g(t) = \sum_{j=1}^7 I_j(t),$$

where

$$I_j(t) = \frac{\eta^{2n}}{2\pi i(\eta^2 - \varepsilon^2)^n} \int_{\gamma_j} \Big(1 + \frac{z^2}{R^2}\Big)^n \Big(1 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{(z - i\eta)^2}\Big)^n g_j(t) e^{zt}\, \frac{dz}{z}.$$

The values of n and k will be the same as in Theorem 4.1, depending only on p. The positive parameters R and ε will be functions of t with $\varepsilon = 1/R$.

In addition, $R(t)$ will be a continuous, increasing function of t, with $R(t) \geq 2\max(|\eta|, |\eta|^{-1})$. We shall specify R later.

Next we shall specify the contours γ_j and functions g_j, for $j = 1, \dots, 7$ in turn, and in each case we shall either show that $I_j \cdot R \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ or obtain pointwise estimates for $I_j(t)$. We shall write $J_j(t) = \|I_j(t)\|$ and $f_t = f\chi_{(0,t)}$, so that $\widehat{f_t}$ is the entire function given by

$$\widehat{f_t}(z) = \int_0^t e^{-zs} f(s)\, ds, \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

I_1: We take $\gamma_1 = R\mathbb{T} \cap \mathbb{C}_+$, and $g_1 = \widehat{f} - \widehat{f_t}$. Since

$$\left|1 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{(z - i\eta)^2}\right| \leq 2, \qquad |z| \geq R, \tag{4.11}$$

$J_1(t)$ can be estimated in the same way as in (3.4) and we find that $J_1 \cdot R \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

I_2: We take $\gamma_2 = R\mathbb{T} \cap \mathbb{C}_-$, and $g_2 = \widehat{f_t}$. Using (4.11), one can estimate $J_2(t)$ as in (3.6) and obtain that $J_2 \cdot R \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

I_3: We take γ_3 to consist of the two line-segments $(\pm iR + \mathbb{R}) \cap \widetilde{\Omega}_{M,\eta} \cap \mathbb{C}_-$, and $g_3 = \widehat{f}$. The inequality (4.11) holds on γ_3, so one can estimate $J_3(t)$ as in (4.6).

I_4: We take $\gamma_4 = \left\{z \in \partial\widetilde{\Omega}_{M,\eta} : |\operatorname{Im} z| < R, |\operatorname{Im} z - \eta| > \varepsilon\right\}$, and $g_4 = \widehat{f}$. Here

$$\left|1 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{(z - i\eta)^2}\right| \leq 2,$$

so $I_4(t)$ can be estimated in the same way as (4.8).

I_5: We take γ_5 to consist of the two line-segments $(i\eta \pm i\varepsilon + \mathbb{R}) \cap \widetilde{\Omega}_{M,\eta} \cap \mathbb{C}_-$, and $g_5 = \widehat{f}$. Here $z = -s + i(\eta \pm \varepsilon)$, where $0 < s < 1/M(R)$, and

$$\left|1 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{(z - i\eta)^2}\right| = \frac{|1 \pm 2i\varepsilon/s|}{1 + (\varepsilon/s)^2} \leq \frac{2s}{\varepsilon} = 2sR.$$

Since $M(s) \geq cs$ for large s, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_5(t) &\leq C \int_0^{1/M(R)} s^n R^n M(R)^\beta e^{-st}\, ds \\ &\leq CM(R)^\beta R^n \int_0^\infty s^n e^{-st}\, ds \\ &\leq \frac{CM(R)^\beta R^n}{t^{n+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

I_6: We take $\gamma_6 = \{z \in \mathbb{C}_+ : |z-i\eta| = \varepsilon\}$, with $g_6 = \widehat{f} - \widehat{f}_t$. Here $z = i\eta + \varepsilon e^{i\theta}$, where $-\pi/2 < \theta < \pi/2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} e^{zt}(\widehat{f}(z) - \widehat{f}_t(z)) &= e^{zt}\int_t^\infty e^{-\varepsilon e^{i\theta}s}e^{-i\eta s}f(s)\,ds \\ &= -e^{i\eta t}F(t) + e^{zt}\int_t^\infty \varepsilon e^{i\theta}e^{-\varepsilon e^{i\theta}s}F(s)\,ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\left\|e^{zt}(\widehat{f}(z) - \widehat{f}_t(z))\right\| \le \|F(t)\| + \varepsilon\int_t^\infty e^{-\varepsilon(s-t)\cos\theta}\|F(s)\|\,ds.$$

Moreover, $|1 + \frac{z^2}{R^2}| \le 2$ and $|1 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{(z-i\eta)^2}| = 2\cos\theta$ and $|z| \ge |\eta|/2$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} J_6(t) &\le C\varepsilon\|F(t)\| + C\int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2}\cos\theta\left(\varepsilon\int_0^\infty e^{-\varepsilon s\cos\theta}\|F(s+t)\|\,ds\right)\varepsilon\,d\theta \\ &\le C\varepsilon\|F(t)\| + C\varepsilon^2\int_0^\infty \frac{\|F(s+t)\|}{1+\varepsilon^2 s^2}\,ds \\ &\le C\varepsilon\|F(t)\| + C\varepsilon(P_{1/\varepsilon} * H_1)(t), \end{aligned}$$

where $H_1 = \|F\|$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$, $H_1 = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}_-$. Since $H_1 \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$, it follows that $J_6/\varepsilon = J_6 \cdot R \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

I_7: We take $\gamma_7 = \{z \in \mathbb{C}_- : |z - i\eta| = \varepsilon\}$, with $g_7 = \widehat{f}_t$. The estimates are similar to those for I_6. Now we have $z = i\eta + \varepsilon e^{i\theta}$, where $\pi/2 < \theta < 3\pi/2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} e^{zt}\widehat{f}_t(z) &= e^{i\eta t}F(t) + e^{zt}\int_0^t \varepsilon e^{i\theta}e^{-\varepsilon e^{i\theta}s}F(s)\,ds, \\ \left|e^{zt}\widehat{f}_t(z)\right| &\le \|F(t)\| + \varepsilon\int_0^t e^{-\varepsilon(t-s)|\cos\theta|}\|F(s)\|\,ds, \\ J_7(t) &\le C\varepsilon\|F(t)\| + C\varepsilon(P_{1/\varepsilon} * H_1)(t). \end{aligned}$$

Again we obtain $J_7 \cdot R \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

It follows from the estimates above that $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, R, X)$, provided that $R(t)$ is chosen in such a way that the following functions of t all belong to $L^p(a,\infty)$ for some $a \ge 0$ and some $n \ge 1$:

(1) $R(t)^{-(n+1)}M(R(t))^{-(n+1-\beta)}$,
(2) $\exp(-t/M(R(t))R(t)^2M(R(t))^\beta$,
(3) $M(R(t))^\beta R(t)^{n+1}/t^{n+1}$.

In particular, let $R(t) = \max\left(w_{M,\log}(kt), 2|\eta|, 2|\eta|^{-1}\right)$. Then the first two functions belong to $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$, for all sufficiently large $n \ge 1$ and all sufficiently small $k > 0$, as seen in the proof of Theorem 4.1, specifically (4.7) and (4.9).

If $M(s) \ge \kappa s^\alpha$ for some $\alpha > 1$ and $\kappa > 0$, then $R(t) \le Ct^\gamma$ for some $\gamma < 1$ and for large t. Using also (2.1), we may estimate the third function

as follows:

$$\frac{M(R(t))^\beta R(t)^{n+1}}{t^{n+1}} \le \frac{C}{t^{(n+1)(1-\gamma)-\beta}}$$

so the third function is in $L^p(2,\infty)$ if $n > (\beta+\gamma)(1-\gamma)^{-1}$. This completes the proof of statement (a).

For the statement (b), we put $R(t) = \max\left((1+t)^\gamma, 2|\eta|, 2|\eta|^{-1}\right)$ where $\gamma \in (0,1)$. In this case the three functions are easily estimated as follows, for t sufficiently large:

(1) $R(t)^{-(n+1)} M(R(t))^{-(n+1-\beta)} \le C t^{-(2(n+1)-\beta)\gamma}$,
(2) $\exp(-t/M(R(t)))R(t)^2 M(R(t))^\beta \le C\exp(-t^{1-\gamma})t^{(\beta+2)\gamma}$,
(3) $M(R(t))^\beta R(t)^{n+1}/t^{n+1} \le C t^{\gamma\beta-(n+1)(1-\gamma)}$.

Each of these functions is in $L^p(2,\infty)$ if n is large enough. This completes the proof of statement (b). □

Remark 4.4. In the formulation of Theorem 4.3, the assumption (4.10) appears to be formally less general than the corresponding assumption (4.2) in Theorem 4.1, as terms which are polynomial in $\operatorname{Im} z$ are not included. This corresponds to the case $\alpha = 0$ in (4.2). However in both cases (a) and (b) of Theorem 4.3 we consider functions M which grow at least linearly. Then such terms can be absorbed by changing the value of β, so the more general case is covered by the theorem.

When the assumptions of Theorem 4.3 hold with M growing sublinearly, for example $M(s) = \max(2, s^\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in (0,1)$, one might hope to achieve the conclusion of the theorem with a weight w growing faster than t. However the following example shows that the rate of decay given under the weaker assumptions of Theorem 4.3(b) is close to optimal even when M grows sublinearly, at least for $p = \infty$. However, if the shape of the domain Ω_M and the growth of $\widehat{f}$ in Ω_M near $i\eta$ are determined by different functions M, then one can obtain a faster decay of rate than in Theorem 4.3; see [10, Proposition 4.3].

Example 4.5. Let $X = c_0(\mathbb{N})$, $(\beta_n)_n \in X$ with $\operatorname{Re}\beta_n > 0$, and $(T(t))_{t\ge0}$ be the C_0-semigroup of contractions on X defined by

$$T(t)x = (\exp(it - \beta_n t)\alpha_n)_n, \qquad x = (\alpha_n)_n \in X.$$

Let $f(t) = T(t)x$ where $x = (\beta_n)_n \in X$. Since the generator has spectrum $\{i - \beta_n : n \ge 1\} \cup \{i\}$, $\widehat{f}$ extends analytically at every point of $i\mathbb{R} \setminus \{i\}$ and

$$\left\|\widehat{f}(is)\right\| = \sup_{n\ge1} \left|\frac{\beta_n}{i - is - \beta_n}\right|.$$

Moreover,

$$\int_0^t e^{-is} f(s)\, ds = \left(1 - \exp(-\beta_n t)\right)_n.$$

This is bounded in X. On the other hand,

$$g(t) = \widehat{f}(0) - \int_0^t f(s)\,ds = \left(\frac{\beta_n}{\beta_n - i}\exp(it - \beta_n t)\right)_n.$$

Now consider the case when $\beta_n > 0$. We will consider the conditions of Theorem 4.3 with $\eta = 1$ and $p = \infty$. For $\lambda = i - re^{i\theta}$ where $r > 0$ and $-\pi/2 < \theta < \pi/2$, we have

$$\big\|\widehat{f}(\lambda)\big\| = \sup_{n\geq 1}\Big|\frac{\beta_n}{re^{i\theta} - \beta_n}\Big| = \sup_{n\geq 1}|1 - \beta_n^{-1}re^{i\theta}|^{-1} \leq |\csc\theta|.$$

Take $M(s) = \max(2, s^{1/2})$. When $\lambda \in \widetilde{\Omega}_{M,1}\cap\mathbb{C}_-$, with $0 < |\operatorname{Im}\lambda - 1| \leq 1/4$, let $s = |\operatorname{Im}\lambda - 1|^{-1}$. Then $|\tan\theta| > M(s)/s = s^{-1/2}$. Hence

$$\big\|\widehat{f}(\lambda)\big\| \leq |\csc\theta| < (1+s)^{1/2} \leq CM(|\operatorname{Im}\lambda - 1|^{-1}).$$

Since $\widehat{f}$ is bounded elsewhere in $\widetilde{\Omega}_{M,1}\cap\mathbb{C}_-$, the condition (4.10) of Theorem 4.3 is satisfied for $\beta = 1$. Theorem 4.3(b) can be applied to show that $\|g(t)\| = o(t^{-\gamma})$ as $t \to \infty$, for any $\gamma < 1$. Even though the conditions of the theorem are satisfied with M growing sublinearly, $\|g(t)\|$ does not decrease faster than t^{-1}. In fact,

$$\|g(t)\| = \sup_{n\geq 1}\frac{\beta_n e^{-\beta_n t}}{|i - \beta_n|} \leq \frac{1}{et}$$

and

$$\|g(\beta_n^{-1})\| \geq \frac{\beta_n}{(1+\beta_n^2)^{1/2}e}.$$

So the optimal rate of decay is $\|g(t)\| = O(t^{-1})$, see also [10, Proposition 4.3].

By choosing β_n to have arguments tending to $\pi/2$, one can construct variants of this example in which $\|\widehat{f}(is)\|$ increases arbitrarily rapidly as $s \to 1$.

5. Optimality of weights

We begin this section by showing that Theorem 1.2 cannot be essentially improved in the case of polynomial rates. At the end of the section we state a result for logarithmic rates.

Theorem 5.1. *Given $\alpha > 0$, $p \in [1,\infty)$, and a positive function $\gamma \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$, there exists a function $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ such that*

(a) *$\widehat{f}$ admits an analytic extension to the region*

$$\Omega := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z > -1/(1 + |\operatorname{Im} z|)^\alpha\},$$

with

$$|\widehat{f}(z)| \leq (1 + |\operatorname{Im} z|)^{\alpha/2}, \qquad z \in \Omega, \tag{5.1}$$

and

(b)
$$\int_0^\infty \Big|\widehat{f}(0) - \int_0^t f(s)\,ds\Big|^p \Big(\frac{t}{\gamma(t)\log(t+2)}\Big)^{p/\alpha}\,dt = \infty.$$

The proof of Theorem 5.1 is based on the following proposition. For a complex measure μ on $\mathbb{C}\setminus\Omega$ and $t \ge 0$, we define

$$\mathcal{L}\mu(t) = \int_{\mathbb{C}\setminus\Omega} e^{t\zeta}\,d\mu(\zeta), \tag{5.2}$$

$$\mathcal{G}\mu(t,z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}\setminus\Omega} e^{t\zeta}\,\frac{d\mu(\zeta)}{z-\zeta}\,, \qquad z \in \Omega, \tag{5.3}$$

$$\mathcal{N}\mu(t) = \int_{\mathbb{C}\setminus\Omega} e^{t\zeta}\,\frac{d\mu(\zeta)}{\zeta}\,. \tag{5.4}$$

These functions are related as follows:

$$\mathcal{G}\mu(0,z) = \widehat{\mathcal{L}\mu}(z), \quad \mathcal{N}\mu(t) = \int_0^t \mathcal{L}\mu(s)\,ds - \widehat{\mathcal{L}\mu}(0). \tag{5.5}$$

Proposition 5.2. *Given $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > \alpha/2$, there exist arbitrarily large integers k, complex measures $\mu = \mu(k)$ with compact support in $\mathbb{C}\setminus\Omega$, and points $w = w(k) \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\Omega$, such that*

$$|w| \asymp \left(\frac{k}{\log k}\right)^{1/\alpha}, \quad k \to \infty, \qquad \operatorname{supp}\mu \subset \{z : |z-w| < 1\}, \tag{5.6}$$

and, for some constants $C, c > 0$ (depending on α and β) and some absolute constant $\rho > 0$, we have

$$|\mathcal{L}\mu(t)| \le C\chi_{\{t:|t-k|<k/2\}}e^{-\rho(t-k)^2/k} + e^{-\rho t}, \tag{5.7}$$

$$|\mathcal{G}\mu(t,z)| \le C\chi_{\{t:t\le 2k\}}\big(|\operatorname{Im} z|^\beta\chi_{\{z:|z-w|<2\}} + 1\big) + e^{-\rho t}, \tag{5.8}$$

$$|\mathcal{N}\mu(t)| \ge c\Big(\frac{\log k}{k}\Big)^{1/\alpha} \quad \text{if } (t-k)^2 < k, \tag{5.9}$$

and

$$|\mathcal{N}\mu(t)| \le C\Big(\frac{\log k}{k}\Big)^{1/\alpha}e^{-\rho(t-k)^2/k}\chi_{\{t:|t-k|<k/2\}} + e^{-\rho t}, \tag{5.10}$$

for all $t \ge 0$ and $z \in \Omega$.

Proof. In the course of this proof, we shall use the symbol ρ to denote an absolute constant, which is strictly positive and is chosen to make certain inequalities hold. In each case the inequalities are (trivially) also true for smaller positive values, so that in the end we can take the minimum of the various values of ρ. We shall consider integers $k \ge 2$, and at several stages we shall assume that k is sufficiently large that certain inequalities hold. These inequalities involve ρ, but each inequality will hold for all integers k which are sufficiently large (depending on ρ). Thus for the final value of ρ, we establish all the estimates for all sufficiently large k.

Fix $\gamma \in (0, \beta - \alpha/2)$, and define

$$\begin{aligned} A &:= 2k \log k, \\ \tau &:= A^{k-1}/\sqrt{k}, \\ q &:= e^{2\pi i/k}, \\ w &:= iH - 1, \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

where H satisfies $k = \gamma H^\alpha \log H$. Note that

$$|w| \sim H = \left(\frac{k}{\gamma \log H}\right)^{1/\alpha} \sim \left(\alpha \frac{k}{\gamma \log k}\right)^{1/\alpha}, \qquad k \to \infty, \tag{5.12}$$

$$|z - w| \geq 1 - H^{-\alpha}, \qquad z \in \Omega. \tag{5.13}$$

Indeed if $|z - w| < 1$, then $\operatorname{Im} z > H - 1$ so $\operatorname{Re} z > -H^{-\alpha}$. We take k sufficiently large that $|w| \geq 3$ and $|z - w| \geq 1/2$ for all $z \in \Omega$.

Consider the complex measure

$$\mu := \tau \sum_{1 \leq s \leq k} q^s \Big(1 + \frac{q^s}{Aw}\Big) \delta_{w + q^s/A},$$

where δ_ζ is the unit mass at ζ. Then (5.6) holds. To establish the remaining properties, we use the following elementary facts.

Lemma 5.3. *For $k \geq j \geq 1$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $z^k \neq 1$,*

$$\sum_{1 \leq s \leq k} \frac{q^{js}}{z - q^s} = \frac{k z^{j-1}}{z^k - 1}.$$

Proof. For some polynomial P with $\deg P < k$ we have

$$\sum_{1 \leq s \leq k} \frac{q^{js}}{z - q^s} = \frac{P(z)}{z^k - 1}.$$

Since $P(qz) = q^{j-1} P(z)$, we obtain that $P(z) = c z^{j-1}$. Expanding for large real z we get

$$\frac{P(z)}{z^k - 1} = \sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{1 \leq s \leq k} \frac{q^{(m+j)s}}{z^{m+1}} = \frac{k}{z^{k-j+1}} + O\left(z^{-(2k+j-1)}\right), \qquad z \to \infty,$$

and, hence, $P(z) = k z^{j-1}$. □

Lemma 5.4. *For $n \geq 1$ and $|z| \leq 1$,*

$$\Big| e^z - \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{z^j}{j!} \Big| \leq 2 \frac{|z|^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}.$$

Proof. This follows easily from the Taylor expansion of the exponential function. □

Lemma 5.5. *There exist absolute constants $C, c, \rho > 0$ such that, for all $k \geq 3$,*

$$e^{k-t}(t/k)^k \max(\sqrt{t/k}, 1) \leq Ce^{-\rho(t-k)^2/\max(t,k)}, \qquad t \geq 0, \tag{5.14}$$

$$e^{k-t}(t/k)^k \geq c, \qquad |t-k|^2 \leq 2k, \tag{5.15}$$

$$e^{-t}t^k \max(\sqrt{t}, \sqrt{k})/k! \leq Ce^{-\rho(t-k)^2/\max(t,k)}, \qquad t \geq 0. \tag{5.16}$$

Proof. The inequalities (5.14) and (5.16) are equivalent (up to a change of C), by Stirling's formula. We shall establish (5.14) and (5.15).

Let $t = (1+s)k$, where $s > -1$. Consider first the case when $|s| < 1$, so $t < 2k$. From the Taylor expansion of $\log(1+s)$, one sees that the following inequalities hold for some $\gamma, \rho > 0$ (more precisely, $0 < \rho \leq 1 - \log 2$),

$$\begin{aligned} -s + \log(1+s) &\leq -\rho s^2, && |s| < 1, \\ -s + \log(1+s) &\geq -\frac{\gamma}{k}, && s^2 \leq \frac{2}{k}, k \geq 3. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying by k and exponentiating, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} e^{k-t}(t/k)^k &\leq e^{-\rho(t-k)^2/k}, && 0 \leq t < 2k, \\ e^{k-t}(t/k)^k &\geq e^{-\gamma}, && |t-k|^2 \leq 2k. \end{aligned}$$

The inequalities (5.14) and (5.15) follow, with $C = \sqrt{2}$ and $c = e^{-\gamma}$.

Now consider the case when $s \geq 1$, so $t \geq 2k$. It is easily verified that, for sufficiently small $\rho > 0$ (more precisely, $0 < \rho \leq (6 - 7\log 2)/6$), and all $s \geq 1$ and $k \geq 3$,

$$\log(1+s) \leq \frac{6}{7}(1-\rho)s \leq \left(\frac{2k}{2k+1}\right)\left(1 - \frac{\rho s}{1+s}\right)s.$$

Hence

$$-ks + \left(k + \tfrac{1}{2}\right)\log(1+s) \leq -\frac{\rho s^2 k}{1+s}.$$

Exponentiating gives (5.14) with $C = 1$. □

Remark 5.6. It is easy to see that

$$\left|\frac{(k-t)^2}{\max(k,t)} - \frac{(k-1-t)^2}{\max(k-1,t)}\right| \leq 2, \qquad k \geq 2, t \geq 0.$$

Hence the estimates (5.14) and (5.16) remain true if k is replaced by $k-1$ or $k-2$ on one side of the inequality (with the value of ρ unchanged, but C may change).

Now we continue the proof of Proposition 5.2. Since $|w| \geq 3$ and $|z-w| > 1/2$ for all $z \in \Omega$, it follows easily that

$$\frac{|z|}{|w|\,|z-w|} \leq 4, \qquad z \in \Omega. \tag{5.17}$$

In order to establish (5.7), we use that

$$\mathcal{L}\mu(t) = \int_{\mathbb{C}\setminus\Omega} e^{t\zeta}\, d\mu(\zeta) = \tau \sum_{1\le s\le k} q^s \left(1 + \frac{q^s}{Aw}\right) e^{t(w+q^s/A)}. \tag{5.18}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}\mu(t) &= \tau e^{tw} \sum_{n\ge 0} \sum_{1\le s\le k} \left(q^s + \frac{q^{2s}}{Aw}\right) \left(\frac{q^s t}{A}\right)^n \frac{1}{n!} \\
&= A^{k-1}\sqrt{k} e^{tw} \sum_{m\ge 1} \Big[\frac{t^{km-1}}{A^{km-1}} \cdot \frac{1}{(km-1)!} + \frac{t^{km-2}}{A^{km-2}} \cdot \frac{1}{(km-2)!} \cdot \frac{1}{Aw} \Big] \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{k} t^{k-1} e^{tw}}{(k-1)!} \sum_{m\ge 1} \Big(\frac{t^k}{A^k}\Big)^{m-1} \frac{(k-1)!}{(km-1)!} \Big(1 + \frac{km-1}{tw}\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

When $0 \le t \le A$ and $k \ge 3$, using Lemma 5.5 (5.16) and Remark 5.6 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{L}\mu(t)| &\le C \frac{\sqrt{k} t^{k-1} e^{-t}}{(k-1)!} \sum_{m\ge 1} \frac{(k-1)! m}{(km-1)!} \left(1 + \frac{k}{t}\right) \\
&\le C \left(\frac{e^{-t}(k-1)^{1/2} t^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} + \frac{e^{-t}(k-2)^{1/2} t^{k-2}}{(k-2)!} \right) \sum_{m\ge 1} \frac{(k-1)! m}{(km-1)!} \\
&\le C e^{-\rho(k-t)^2/\max(t,k)}.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$|\mathcal{L}\mu(t)| \le \begin{cases} Ce^{-\rho t/2} & \text{when } 0 < t \le k/2, \\ Ce^{-\rho(k-t)^2/2k} & \text{when } k/2 \le t \le 3k/2, \\ Ce^{-\rho t/9} & \text{when } 3k/2 \le t \le A. \end{cases}$$

When $t \ge A$, we obtain from (5.18) that

$$|\mathcal{L}\mu(t)| \le 2\tau e^{-t} k e^{t/A} = 2\sqrt{k} A^{k-1} e^{-t(1-1/A)} \le 2t^k e^{-t(1-1/A)} < e^{-\rho t},$$

when $\rho \in (0,1)$ and k is sufficiently large. Thus we establish (5.7) for some ρ and all sufficiently large k.

Next, to prove (5.8), we consider

$$\mathcal{G}\mu(t,z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}\setminus\Omega} e^{t\zeta} \frac{d\mu(\zeta)}{z-\zeta} = \tau e^{tw} \sum_{1\le s\le k} \frac{Aq^s + q^{2s}/w}{A(z-w) - q^s} e^{tq^s/A}.$$

Take $\rho \in (0, 1/4)$, and take k sufficiently large that $\rho + 1/A \le 1/4$. If $t \ge A$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{G}\mu(t,z)| &\le C\frac{A^{k-1}}{\sqrt{k}} e^{-t+(t/A)} k \\ &= C2^{k-1}k^{k-1/2}(\log k)^{k-1}e^{-t+(t/A)} \\ &\le C2^{k-1}k^{k-1/2}(\log k)^{k-1}e^{-3A/4}e^{-\rho t} \\ &= C2^{k-1}(\log k)^{k-1}k^{-(k+1)/2}e^{-\rho t} \\ &\le e^{-\rho t}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.19}$$

for sufficiently large k.

Now, we consider the case when $0 \le t \le A$. By Lemma 5.4 (applied with $z = tq^s/A$) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big| \sum_{1\le s\le k} \frac{Aq^s + q^{2s}/w}{A(z-w) - q^s} e^{tq^s/A} \Big| \\ &\qquad \le \Big| \sum_{1\le s\le k} \sum_{0\le j<k-1} \frac{Aq^s + q^{2s}/w}{A(z-w) - q^s} \cdot \frac{t^j q^{sj}}{A^j j!} \Big| + \frac{Ckt^{k-1}}{A^{k-1}(k-1)!}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.3,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{1\le s\le k} \frac{1}{A(z-w) - q^s} \sum_{0\le j<k-1} \Big(\frac{t^j A^{1-j} q^{s(j+1)}}{j!} + \frac{t^j A^{-j} q^{s(j+2)}}{j! w} \Big) \\ &= \frac{k}{A^k(z-w)^k - 1} \sum_{0\le j<k-1} \Big(\frac{t^j A^{1-j}}{j!} A^j (z-w)^j + \frac{t^j A^{-j}}{j!w} A^{j+1}(z-w)^{j+1} \Big) \\ &\qquad = \frac{k}{A^k(z-w)^k - 1} \sum_{0\le j<k-1} \frac{t^j Az}{j!w} (z-w)^j. \end{aligned}$$

From this we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &|\mathcal{G}\mu(t,z)| \\ &\quad \le \quad \frac{cA^{k-1}e^{-t}}{\sqrt{k}} \Big(\frac{kt^{k-1}}{A^{k-1}(k-1)!} + \frac{k}{A^k|z-w|^k} \sum_{0\le j<k-1} \frac{t^j A|z|}{j!|w|} |z-w|^j \Big) \\ &\quad = \quad \frac{ce^{-t}t^{k-1}\sqrt{k}}{(k-1)!} + \frac{ce^{-t}\sqrt{k}}{|z-w|^k} \sum_{0\le j<k-1} \frac{t^j|z|}{j!|w|} |z-w|^j \\ &\quad =: \quad X + Y. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.5 (5.16) and Remark 5.6,

$$X \le Ce^{-\rho(t-k)^2/\max(t,k)} \le \begin{cases} Ce^{-\rho t/4} & \text{if } 2k \le t \le A, \\ C & \text{if } 0 \le t \le 2k. \end{cases} \tag{5.20}$$

Now we consider the term Y. Assume first that $2k \le t \le A$. By Lemma 5.5 (5.16) we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y &\le C\frac{\sqrt{k}|z|}{|w|}\sum_{0\le j<k-1}|z-w|^{-(k-j)}e^{-\rho(t-j)^2/t} \\ &\le Ce^{-\rho t/4}\frac{\sqrt{k}|z|}{|w|}\sum_{0\le j<k-1}|z-w|^{-(k-j)}. \end{aligned}$$

By (5.13), we can assume that k is sufficiently large that $|z-w| > e^{-\rho/4}$ for all $z \in \Omega$. Using (5.17), we have

$$Y \le Ce^{-\rho t/4}k^{3/2}\frac{|z|}{|w|\,|z-w|}e^{\rho k/4} \le Ce^{-\rho t/9}.$$

From this and (5.20), we have

$$|\mathcal{G}\mu(t,z)| \le Ce^{-\rho t/9} \quad \text{when } 2k \le t \le A. \tag{5.21}$$

Next assume that $|z-w| \ge 2$ and $k/4 \le t \le 2k$. By Lemma 5.5 (5.16), we have $e^{-t}\sqrt{k}t^j/j! \le C$ for $0 \le j < k-1$. Using also (5.17), we obtain

$$Y \le \frac{C|z|}{|w|}\sum_{0\le j<k-1}|z-w|^{-(k-j)} \le \frac{C|z|}{|w||z-w|} \le 4C. \tag{5.22}$$

Next, assume that $|z-w| \ge 2$ and $t < k/4$. Let m be the integer part of $k/2$. Since $e^{-t}t^j/j! \le 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{e^{-t}\sqrt{k}}{|z-w|^k}\sum_{0\le j\le m}\frac{t^j|z|}{j!|w|}|z-w|^j &\le \frac{\sqrt{k}|z|}{|w|}\sum_{0\le j\le m}|z-w|^{-(k-j)} \\ &\le \frac{2\sqrt{k}|z|}{|w|\,|z-w|^{k/2}} \\ &\le \frac{4\sqrt{k}}{2^{k/2}}\frac{|z|}{|w|\,|z-w|} \\ &\le c. \end{aligned}$$

For $j > m$, by Lemma 5.5 (5.16),

$$\frac{e^{-t}t^j}{j!} \le \frac{Ce^{-\rho(j-t)^2/j}}{\sqrt{t}} \le \frac{C}{\sqrt{t}}e^{-\rho k/8}.$$

Hence

$$\frac{e^{-t}\sqrt{k}}{|z-w|^k}\sum_{m<j<k-1}\frac{t^j|z|}{j!|w|}|z-w|^j \le \frac{C\sqrt{k}e^{-\rho k/8}}{\sqrt{t}}\frac{|z|}{|w|\,|z-w|} \le C.$$

Thus we have

$$Y \le C \quad \text{when } |z-w| \ge 2 \text{ and } t < k/4.$$

In combination with (5.20) and (5.22), this gives

$$|\mathcal{G}\mu(t,z)| \le C \quad \text{when } |z-w| \ge 2 \text{ and } 0 < t \le 2k. \tag{5.23}$$

Next assume that $|z-w| \le 2$ and $t \le 2k$. Using (5.13) we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= \frac{e^{-t}\sqrt{k}}{|z-w|^k} \sum_{0\le j<k-1} \frac{t^j|z|}{j!|w|}|z-w|^j \\ &\le \frac{\sqrt{k}|z|}{|w|} \max\left(|z-w|^{-1}, |z-w|^{-k}\right) \le C\sqrt{k}(1-H^{-\alpha})^{-k}. \end{aligned}$$

Take $\gamma' \in (\gamma, \beta-\alpha/2)$ and $\gamma'' \in (\gamma', \beta-\alpha/2)$. By (5.12),

$$H^\alpha \ge \frac{\alpha k}{\gamma' \log k}$$

for all sufficiently large k. Moreover

$$e^{-\gamma'' s} + \gamma' s \le 1$$

for all sufficiently small $s > 0$. Putting $s = (\log k)/(\alpha k)$ for sufficiently large k we have

$$1 - \frac{\gamma' \log k}{\alpha k} \ge k^{-\gamma''/(\alpha k)}.$$

Thus, for sufficiently large k,

$$Y \le c\sqrt{k}\left(1 - \frac{\gamma' \log k}{\alpha k}\right)^{-k} \le Ck^{1/2+\gamma''/\alpha}.$$

Since $1/2 + \gamma''/\alpha < \beta/\alpha$, it follows from (5.12) that

$$|\operatorname{Im} z|^\beta \ge (\operatorname{Im} w - 2)^\beta = (H-2)^\beta > k^{1/2+\gamma''/\alpha}$$

for sufficiently large k. Thus

$$Y \le c|\operatorname{Im} z|^\beta$$

for sufficiently large k. Using also (5.20),

$$|\mathcal{G}\mu(t,z)| \le C|\operatorname{Im} z|^\beta \quad \text{when } |z-w| \le 2 \text{ and } t \le 2k. \tag{5.24}$$

Together, (5.19), (5.21), (5.23), and (5.24) prove (5.8).

Finally, for (5.9) we consider

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}\mu(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{C}\setminus\Omega} e^{t\zeta}\,\frac{d\mu(\zeta)}{\zeta} \\ &= \frac{\tau e^{-t+iHt}}{w}\sum_{1\le s\le k} q^s e^{q^s t/A} \\ &= \frac{\tau e^{-t+iHt}}{w}\sum_{n\ge 0}\sum_{1\le s\le k} q^s\left(\frac{q^s t}{A}\right)^n\frac{1}{n!} \\ &= \frac{e^{iHt}}{w}A^{k-1}\sqrt{k}e^{-t}\sum_{m\ge 1}\frac{t^{km-1}}{A^{km-1}}\,\frac{1}{(km-1)!} \\ &= \frac{e^{iHt}}{w}\frac{\sqrt{k}e^{-t}t^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}\sum_{m\ge 1}\Big(\frac{t^k}{A^k}\Big)^{m-1}\frac{(k-1)!}{(km-1)!}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.25}$$

When $0\le t\le A$, we obtain from Stirling's formula that

$$ce^{k-t}\frac{t^{k-1}}{k^{k-1}H}\le\frac{e^{-t}\sqrt{k}t^{k-1}}{|w|(k-1)!}\le|\mathcal{N}\mu(t)|\le C\frac{e^{-t}\sqrt{k}t^{k-1}}{|w|(k-1)!}\le Ce^{k-t}\frac{t^{k-1}}{k^{k-1}H}\,.$$

By (5.12), Lemma 5.5 and Remark 5.6,

$$|\mathcal{N}\mu(t)|\Big(\frac{k}{\log k}\Big)^{1/\alpha}\ge c>0,\qquad |t-k|^2\le k, \tag{5.26}$$

and

$$|\mathcal{N}\mu(t)|\le C\Big(\frac{\log k}{k}\Big)^{1/\alpha}e^{-\rho(t-k)^2/\max(t,k)},\qquad 0\le t\le A.$$

Hence

$$|\mathcal{N}\mu(t)|\le\begin{cases}Ce^{-\rho t/2}, & 0\le t\le k/2,\\ C\Big(\dfrac{\log k}{k}\Big)^{1/\alpha}e^{-\rho(k-t)^2/2t}, & k/2\le t\le 3k/2,\\ Ce^{-\rho t/9}, & 3k/2\le t\le A.\end{cases} \tag{5.27}$$

Finally, by (5.25) when $t\ge A$ we have

$$|\mathcal{N}\mu(t)|\le\frac{C\tau e^{-t}}{H}ke^{t/A}\le\sqrt{k}t^{k-1}e^{-t(1-1/A)}\le e^{-\rho t} \tag{5.28}$$

when $\rho\in(0,1)$ and k is sufficiently large. Now, (5.26)–(5.28) together yield (5.9) and (5.10). □

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Without loss of generality, we can assume that γ is non-increasing and $\gamma(t)\ge t^{-1}$ for all $t\ge 1$. Choose β with $\alpha/2<\beta\le\alpha/2+\alpha/(2p)$. Let $\{k_n\}\subset\mathbb{N}$ be a sequence of integers as in Proposition 5.2 such that

$$k_1\ge 3,\quad k_n\ge 3k_{n-1}\ (n\ge 2),\quad 2^n\gamma\big(k_n-\sqrt{k_n}\big)^{1/\alpha}\to 0.$$

For each $n \ge 1$, let μ_n be the corresponding complex measure with compact support in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$, let $f_n := \mathcal{L}\mu_n$, and let w_n be the corresponding point in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$ so that

$$|w_n| \asymp \Big(\frac{k_n}{\log k_n}\Big)^{1/\alpha}, \quad n \to \infty, \qquad \operatorname{supp} \mu_n \subset \{z : |z - w_n| < 1\}.$$

Then the following hold:

(i) by (5.5),

$$\widehat{f}_n(z) = \mathcal{G}\mu_n(0, z), \quad \mathcal{N}\mu_n(t) = \int_0^t f_n(s)\, ds - \widehat{f}_n(0),$$

(ii) by (5.7),

$$\begin{aligned} (5.29) \quad \|f_n\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)} &\le C\Big(\int_{k_n/2}^{3k_n/2} e^{-p\rho(t-k_n)^2/k_n}\, dt\Big)^{1/p} + \Big(\int_0^\infty e^{-p\rho t}\, dt\Big)^{1/p} \\ &\le C k_n^{1/(2p)} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\rho p u^2}\, du\Big)^{1/p} + (p\rho)^{-1/p} \le C k_n^{1/(2p)}, \end{aligned}$$

(iii) by (5.8), for $z \in \Omega$,

$$(5.30) \quad |\widehat{f}_n(z)| \le C\big(|\operatorname{Im} z|^\beta \chi_{\{z:|z-w_n|\le 2\}} + 1\big) \le C(1 + |\operatorname{Im} z|)^{\alpha/2} k_n^{1/(2p)},$$

where we have used that $|\operatorname{Im} z| \le |w_n| + 2 \le C k_n^{1/\alpha}$ if $|z - w_n| \le 2$, by (5.6),

(iv) by (5.9), when $(t - k_n)^2 < k_n$,

$$\Big|\widehat{f}_n(0) - \int_0^t f_n(s)\, ds\Big| \ge c_1 \Big(\frac{\log k_n}{k_n}\Big)^{1/\alpha},$$

(v) by (5.10),

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big|\widehat{f}_n(0) - \int_0^t f_n(s)\, ds\Big| \\ &\qquad \le C\Big(\frac{\log k_n}{k_n}\Big)^{1/\alpha} e^{-\rho(t-k_n)^2/k_n} \chi_{\{t:|t-k_n|<k_n/2\}} + c_2 e^{-\rho t}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$f = \sum_{n=1}^\infty 2^{-n} k_n^{-1/(2p)} f_n.$$

By (5.29), the series converges in $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Property (a) of Theorem 5.1 follows from (5.30).

For $k_n - \sqrt{k_n} \le t \le k_n + \sqrt{k_n}$, we have $|t - k_m| > k_m/2$ for $m \ne n$ and hence

$$\left|\widehat{f}(0) - \int_0^t f(s)\,ds\right| \ge 2^{-n} k_n^{-1/(2p)} \left|\mathcal{N}\mu_n(t)\right| - \sum_{m\ne n} 2^{-m} k_m^{-1/(2p)} \left|\mathcal{N}\mu_m(t)\right|$$
$$\ge c_1 2^{-n} k_n^{-1/(2p)} \left(\frac{\log k_n}{k_n}\right)^{1/\alpha} - c_2 e^{-\rho t}.$$

Hence

$$\int_{k_n-\sqrt{k_n}}^{k_n+\sqrt{k_n}} \left(\left|\widehat{f}(0) - \int_0^t f(s)\,ds\right| + c_2 e^{-\rho t}\right)^p \left(\frac{t}{\gamma(t)\log(t+2)}\right)^{p/\alpha} dt$$
$$\ge 2\sqrt{k_n} c_1^p 2^{-pn} k_n^{-1/2} \left(\frac{\log k_n}{k_n}\right)^{p/\alpha} \left(\frac{k_n}{2\gamma(k_n - \sqrt{k_n})\log(2k_n+2)}\right)^{p/\alpha}$$
$$\to \infty.$$

Since $\gamma(t) \ge t^{-1}$, we have that $t \mapsto e^{-\rho t}\left(\frac{t}{\gamma(t)\log(t+2)}\right)^{1/\alpha}$ is in $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$, and property (b) follows. □

Remark 5.7. Given $\gamma > \alpha/2 - \alpha/(2p)$, one can find $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ satisfying the properties of Theorem 5.1 with (5.1) replaced by

$$|\widehat{f}(z)| \le (1 + |\operatorname{Im} z|)^\gamma, \qquad z \in \Omega.$$

This is achieved by choosing $\beta = \gamma + \alpha/(2p)$ in the proof above.

In the rest of this section we briefly discuss optimality of Theorem 3.2 for logarithmic rates. When $M(s) = (\log(2+s))^\alpha$ and the assumptions of Theorem 4.1 are satisfied, (4.3) shows that

$$\int_0^\infty \left|\widehat{f}(0) - \int_0^t f(s)\,ds\right|^p e^{\gamma t^{1/(\alpha+1)}}\,dt < \infty$$

for some $\gamma > 0$. The following analogue of Theorem 5.1 shows that this may not hold for all γ, and it follows that Theorem 3.2 is optimal in this case, up to possible changes of k.

Theorem 5.8. *Given $\alpha > 0$ and $p \ge 1$, there exist $\gamma > 0$ and a function $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ such that*

(a) *$\widehat{f}$ admits a bounded analytic extension to the region*

$$\Omega := \left\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z > -1/(\log(2 + |\operatorname{Im} z|))^\alpha\right\},$$

and

(b)

$$\int_0^\infty \left|\widehat{f}(0) - \int_0^t f(s)\,ds\right|^p e^{\gamma t^{1/(\alpha+1)}}\,dt = \infty.$$

The proof is based on a modification of Proposition 5.2:

Proposition 5.9. *There exist arbitrarily large integers k, complex measures $\mu = \mu(k)$ with compact support in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$, and points $w = w(k) \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$, such that*

$$\frac{\log |w|}{k^{1/(\alpha+1)}} = 1 + o(1), \quad k \to \infty, \qquad \operatorname{supp} \mu \subset \{z : |z - w| < 1\},$$

and, for some numbers $C, c > 0$ depending on α, for some absolute constant $\rho > 0$, and for all $t \geq 0$ and $z \in \Omega$, we have

(i) $|\mathcal{L}\mu(t)| \leq Ce^{-\rho t^{1/(\alpha+1)}}$,

(ii) $|\mathcal{G}\mu(t, z)| \leq C\chi_{\{t:t\leq 2k\}} + e^{-\rho t}$,

(iii) $|\mathcal{N}\mu(t)| \geq ce^{-4k^{1/(\alpha+1)}}$ *if* $(t - k)^2 < k$, *and*

(iv) $|\mathcal{N}\mu(t)| \leq Ce^{-\rho t}$ *if* $|t - k| > k/2$.

The proof is similar to that of Proposition 5.2. Instead of (5.11) we set $w = iH - 1 - 2(\log H)^{-\alpha}$ where $H = \exp\left(k^{1/(\alpha+1)}\right)$.

6. L^p-rates for semigroup orbits

Let $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ be a C_0-semigroup on a Banach space X, with generator A. In this section, we apply our function-theoretic results to the study of the L^p-rates of decay for differentiable orbits of $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ (in other words, classical solutions of the abstract Cauchy problem (1.6)).

We start with the following simple observations showing certain limitations of such studies. Let $x \in X$ be such that

$$T(\cdot)x \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, X), \tag{6.1}$$

for some $p \in [1, \infty)$. Since

$$\|T(t)x\| = \left(\int_{t-1}^{t} \|T(t)x\|^p \, ds\right)^{1/p} \leq K_1 \left(\int_{t-1}^{t} \|T(s)x\|^p \, ds\right)^{1/p}, \ t \geq 1,$$

where $K_1 = \sup_{0\leq s\leq 1} \|T(s)\|$, it is immediate that $T(\cdot)x \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$. It then follows that $T(\cdot)R(\omega, A)x \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, X) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$, for any $\omega \in \rho(A)$.

Now assume that $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is bounded and $R(\lambda, A)$ extends analytically to $i\mathbb{R}$ and

$$\|R(is, A)\| \leq M(|\operatorname{Im} s|), \qquad s \geq 0, \tag{6.2}$$

for a continuous increasing function M. Then $R(\lambda, A)$ extends further and analytically to $\Omega_{2M} := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} \lambda > -(2M(|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|))^{-1}\}$ and $\|R(\lambda, A)\| \leq 2M(|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|), \lambda \in \Omega_{2M}$. By Theorem 1.4, one has

$$\sup_{t\geq 0} w_{M,\log}(ct)\|T(t)A^{-1}\| =: N < \infty, \tag{6.3}$$

for some $c > 0$. Moreover, Theorem 4.1 yields the following L^p-analogue of (6.3):

$$\int_0^\infty w_{M,\log}(ct)^p \|T(t)A^{-1}x\|^p \, dt < \infty, \tag{6.4}$$

for some $k > 0$, if (6.1) holds. However, (6.4) is a trivial consequence of (6.3) and the semigroup property, for any $k \in (0, c)$, in view of

$$\int_0^\infty w_{M,\log}(ct)^p \|T(t)A^{-1}x\|^p \, dt \le N^p \int_0^\infty \big\|T\big((1-k/c)t\big)x\big\|^p \, dt.$$

Similarly, for bounded C_0-semigroups on Hilbert spaces, the optimal polynomial L^∞-rates as in Theorem 1.5 give rise to the corresponding L^p-rates.

Thus, L^∞-rates for smooth orbits of bounded operator semigroups yield L^p-rates for such orbits in a straightforward manner.

However, if we are interested in the asymptotic behaviour of the families $(T_1T(t)T_2)_{t\ge0}$ arising in the study of decay of local energy as explained in the Introduction, then the semigroup property is violated and the problem of describing L^p-rates for $(T_1T(t)T_2)_{t\ge0}$ becomes distinct from its L^∞-analogue studied in [10], [12], [15], [19], [21], etc. In the L^p-context, we can formulate the following corollary of Theorems 3.2 and 4.1. Since we are considering individual vectors x we could suppress the operator T_2, but we retain it because it is standard practice in the study of decay of local energy to consider rates which are uniform in some respects.

Let $\omega_0 = \omega_0(T)$ be the exponential growth bound of a C_0-semigroup $(T(t))_{t\ge0}$. The following result is of interest when $\omega_0 \ge 0$, so that $R(\lambda, A)$ is defined for $\operatorname{Re}\lambda > \omega_0$. In particular, it complements [15, Théorème 3] which has applications to decay of local energy in exterior domains of odd dimension.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $(T(t))_{t\ge0}$ be a C_0-semigroup on a Banach space X, with generator A, let T_1 and T_2 be bounded operators on X, and let $\omega > \max(\omega_0, 0)$ be fixed. If $x \in X$ is such that*

(i) *$T_1T(\cdot)T_2x \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ for some $p \ge 1$, and*
(ii) *$T_1R(\cdot, A)T_2x$ extends to an analytic function G on an open set Ω containing $\overline{\mathbb{C}_+}$,*

then

$$T_1T(\cdot)R(\omega, A)T_2x \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, X) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}_+, X). \tag{6.5}$$

If $M : \mathbb{R}_+ \to [2, \infty)$ is continuous and increasing, $\Omega = \Omega_M$ as in (1.2), and for some $C, \alpha, \beta \ge 0$ we have

$$\|G(\lambda)\| \le C(1 + |\operatorname{Im}\lambda|)^\alpha M(|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|)^\beta, \qquad \lambda \in \Omega_M, \tag{6.6}$$

then

$$T_1T(\cdot)R(\omega, A)T_2x \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, w, X), \tag{6.7}$$

where $w(t) = w_{M,\log}(kt)$ for some $k > 0$.

Proof. Let us start with the proof of (6.7). Define

$$F(t) = T_1T(t)(\omega R(\omega, A) - I)T_2x = T_1T(t)AR(\omega, A)T_2x, \qquad t \ge 0.$$

Observe that by the generalized Minkowski inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}\|T_1T(\cdot)R(\omega,A)T_2x\|_{L^p} &= \left\|\int_0^\infty e^{-\omega s}T_1T(s+\cdot)T_2x\,ds\right\|_{L^p}\\ &\le \int_0^\infty e^{-\omega s}\|T_1T(s+\cdot)T_2x\|_{L^p}\,ds\\ &\le \omega^{-1}\|T_1T(\cdot)T_2x\|_{L^p}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $F\in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+,X)$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{F}(\lambda) &= T_1(\omega R(\lambda,A)R(\omega,A)-R(\lambda,A))T_2x\\ &= \frac{\lambda}{\omega-\lambda}T_1R(\lambda,A)T_2x-\frac{\omega}{\omega-\lambda}T_1R(\omega,A)T_2x\\ &= \frac{\lambda}{\omega-\lambda}G(\lambda)-\frac{\omega}{\omega-\lambda}T_1R(\omega,A)T_2x, \qquad \operatorname{Re}\lambda>\omega_0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by assumption, $\widehat{F}$ extends analytically to Ω_M and there exists $c>0$ such that $\|\widehat{F}(\lambda)\|\le cM(|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|)$, $\lambda\in\Omega_M$. Now, applying Theorem 4.1 to F, we obtain that the function

$$\begin{aligned}t\mapsto \widehat{F}(0)-\int_0^t F(s)\,ds &= -T_1R(\omega,A)T_2x-\int_0^t T_1T(s)AR(\omega,A)T_2x\,ds\\ &= -T_1T(t)R(\omega,A)T_2x, \qquad t\ge 0,\end{aligned}$$

belongs to $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+,w_{M,\log},X)$, that is, (6.7) holds. The proof of (6.5) is similar to the above argument (using Theorem 3.2 instead of Theorem 4.1), and is omitted. □

Choosing $T_1=T_2=I$, the following corollary of Theorem 6.1 is immediate.

Corollary 6.2. *Let $(T(t))_{t\ge0}$ be a C_0-semigroup on a Banach space X, with generator A, and let $\omega>\max(\omega_0,0)$ be fixed. Let $x\in X$ be such that*

(i) *$T(\cdot)x\in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+,X)$ for some $p\ge1$;*
(ii) *$R(\cdot,A)x$ extends analytically to Ω_M, and its extension G satisfies* (6.6).

Then

$$T(\cdot)R(\omega,A)x\in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+,w,X)\cap C_0(\mathbb{R}_+,X),$$

where w is as in Theorem 6.1.

Now let $(T(t))_{t\ge0}$ be a C_0-semigroup of contractions on a Hilbert space X, with generator A. Assume that $D(A^*)=D(A)$, and consider the operator $-(A+A^*)$ with domain $D(A)$. This operator is symmetric and non-negative, since A is dissipative. Let S be any non-negative, self-adjoint extension of $-(A+A^*)$ (for example, the Friedrichs extension), and let $B=S^{1/2}$. Then $D(A)\subset D(B)$ and B is A-bounded, since

$$\begin{aligned}\|Bx\|^2=-((A+A^*)x,x)\le 2\|Ax\|\,\|x\|&\\ \le(\|Ax\|+\|x\|)^2,\ x\in D(A).&\end{aligned}\tag{6.8}$$

Theorem 6.3. *Let $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ be a C_0-semigroup of contractions on a Hilbert space X, with generator A. Assume that $D(A) = D(A^*)$ and $\sigma(A) \cap i\mathbb{R}$ is empty. Let M be a continuous increasing function such that (6.2) holds, and let B be as above. Then*

$$BT(\cdot)A^{-1}x \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, w, X) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}_+, X), \qquad x \in X,$$

where w is as in Theorem 6.1.

Proof. Since B is A-bounded, $BA^{-1}T(\cdot)$ is strongly continuous and uniformly bounded. By Theorem 1.4, $\lim_{t\to\infty} \|T(t)x\| = 0$ for all $x \in X$, so $BA^{-1}T(\cdot)x \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ for all $x \in X$.

Now, take $x \in D(A)$ with $\|x\| = 1$. Let $F(t) = BT(t)x$. Then

$$\|F(t)\|^2 = -(AT(t)x, T(t)x) - (A^*T(t)x, T(t)x) = -\frac{d}{dt}\|T(t)x\|^2.$$

Hence $F \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$. In fact,

$$\int_0^\infty \|F(t)\|^2 \, dt = 1,$$

since $\lim_{t\to\infty} \|T(t)x\| = 0$.

Since B is A-bounded, it is easy to see that

$$\widehat{F}(\lambda) = BR(\lambda, A)x, \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+.$$

Thus $\widehat{F}$ extends analytically by the same formula to $\rho(A)$ and, by (6.8),

$$\begin{aligned}\|\widehat{F}(\lambda)\| &\leq \|AR(\lambda, A)x\| + \|R(\lambda, A)x\| \\ &= \|\lambda R(\lambda, A)x - x\| + \|R(\lambda, A)x\| \\ &\leq C(1 + |\operatorname{Im}\lambda|)M(|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|), \qquad \lambda \in \Omega_{2M}.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$\widehat{F}(0) - \int_0^t F(s)\, ds = -BT(t)A^{-1}x,$$

so Theorem 4.1 shows that $BT(\cdot)A^{-1}x \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, w, X) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ and

$$\|BT(\cdot)A^{-1}x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, w, X)} \leq C, \tag{6.9}$$

where the constant C is independent of x when $x \in D(A)$ and $\|x\| = 1$.

For $y \in X$ with $\|y\| = 1$, we may take a sequence (x_n) in $D(A)$ converging to y with $\|x_n\| = 1$. Using (6.9) for $x = x_n$, the boundedness of the operator $BT(t)A^{-1}$, and Fatou's Lemma, we infer that (6.9) is also true for $x = y$. □

Example 6.4. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a self-adjoint, positive-definite operator on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, and let X be the Hilbert space $D(\mathcal{B}^{1/2}) \times \mathcal{H}$ with the inner product

$$\big((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)\big)_X = (\mathcal{B}^{1/2}x_1, \mathcal{B}^{1/2}y_1)_{\mathcal{H}} + (x_2, y_2)_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an operator such that $D(\mathcal{B}^{1/2}) \subset D(\mathcal{A})$, $D(\mathcal{B}^{1/2}) \subset D(\mathcal{A}^*)$, and $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}^*$ are both bounded in the graph norm on $D(\mathcal{B}^{1/2})$. Let A be the

operator on X defined by

$$D(A) = D(\mathcal{B}) \times D(\mathcal{B}^{1/2}), \qquad A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -\mathcal{B} & -\mathcal{A} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.10}$$

Then a short calculation [38, Lemma 1, p.74] shows that

$$D(A^*) = D(\mathcal{B}) \times D(\mathcal{B}^{1/2}), \qquad A^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I \\ \mathcal{B} & -\mathcal{A}^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

So

$$-(A + A^*) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consider the damped wave equation (1.7) on $\mathcal{M}$ with non-empty boundary. Let $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathcal{M})$, and $\mathcal{B} = -\Delta$ with $D(\mathcal{B}^{1/2}) = H_0^1(\mathcal{M})$ and $D(\mathcal{B}) = H^2(\mathcal{M}) \cap H_0^1(\mathcal{M})$, and $\mathcal{A}$ be the bounded operator of multiplication by a. These choices fit both Theorem 6.3 and Example 6.4 and the operators A defined in (1.10)–(1.9) and (6.10) coincide.

A similar illustration of Theorem 6.3 and Example 6.4 arises when $\mathcal{M}$ has no boundary, for example when M is a torus. Then the boundary condition is omitted from (1.7), and A, defined by (1.9) on

$$D(A) = H^2(\mathcal{M}) \times H^1(\mathcal{M}),$$

generates a C_0-semigroup of contractions on $X := H^1(\mathcal{M}) \times L^2(\mathcal{M})$. In this case A is not invertible and 0 is an isolated eigenvalue corresponding to constant solutions of (1.7), see [30] and [2]. Let P_0 be the spectral (Riesz) projection corresponding to 0, and let $X_0 := (I - P_0)X$ be equipped with the inner product

$$\langle u, v\rangle_0 = \langle (-\Delta)u_0, v_0\rangle + \langle u_1, v_1\rangle, \qquad u = (u_0, u_1), v = (v_0, v_1) \in X,$$

which is equivalent to the original inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on X. Then $A_0 := (I - P_0)A$ generates a C_0-semigroup of contractions $(T_{P_0}(t))_{t\geq 0}$ on the Hilbert space X_0. Furthermore,

$$E(u, t) \sim \|T_{P_0}(t)(I - P_0)u\|_0^2, \qquad u \in X,$$

A_0 is invertible in X_0 and satisfies the same resolvent estimate on $i\mathbb{R}$ as A. Moreover, A_0 is given by the matrix (1.9) restricted to X_0, where $-\Delta$ is now positive definite. See [2] for the above properties, in particular [2, Lemma 4.6 and p. 12]). Thus, the study of energy asymptotics of (1.7) in resolvent terms is reduced in this case to that for $\mathcal{M}$ with boundary considered above. Both cases are studied thoroughly in [30] and [2, Parts I.2, II], for example.

The following corollary provides a concrete application of Theorem 6.3 and reveals new asymptotic properties of classical solutions to damped wave equations. Recall that u is said to be a classical solution of (1.7) on $\mathcal{M}$ with $\partial M \neq \emptyset$ if

$$u \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, H_2(\mathcal{M}) \cap H_0^1(\mathcal{M})) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}_+, H_0^1(\mathcal{M})),$$

and u satisfies (1.7). When $\partial M = \emptyset$ this has to be modified in an obvious way.

Corollary 6.5. *Assume that the damped wave equation* (1.7) *(where $\partial\mathcal{M}$ can be empty) is stable at rate $r(t)$. Then, for each classical solution u of* (1.7)*, one has*

$$\int_0^\infty \left| \frac{d}{dt} E(u,t) \right| w(t)^2 \, dt < \infty \tag{6.11}$$

where $M(s) = r^{-1}(c/s)$ for some $c > 0$ and $w(t) = w_{M,\log}(kt)$ for some $k > 0$.

Proof. Assume that $\partial M \neq \emptyset$. Let $X = H_0^1(\mathcal{M}) \times L^2(\mathcal{M})$, and let an operator A on X be defined by (1.10) and (1.9). Then, as we mentioned in the introduction, A generates a C_0-semigroup of contractions in X, and $i\mathbb{R} \subset \rho(A)$. By our assumption and Theorem 1.4 or by [4, Theorem 4.4.14], there exist positive constants c, C such that $\|R(is, A)\| \leq C r^{-1}(c/s)$. Now we can apply Theorem 6.3, with

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (2a)^{1/2} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad x = A(u_0, u_1),$$

to obtain that

$$\int_0^\infty w(t)^2 \int_{\mathcal{M}} a(x) |u_t(t;x)|^2 \, dx \, dt < \infty.$$

It remains to note that

$$\frac{d}{dt} E(u,t) = -\int_M a |u_t(t,x)|^2 \, dx \leq 0, \qquad t \geq 0.$$

If $\partial M = \emptyset$, then the same argument applied to A_0 gives (6.11). □

If the set of damping (where $a > 0$) has non-empty interior in $\mathcal{M}$, then (1.7) is stable at rate $r(t) = 1/\log t$ by [30] and [15], and then $w(t) \sim \log t$. If the set of damping satisfies the so-called geometric control condition then (1.7) is stable at rate $r(t) = e^{-\beta t}$ (see [36] and [5]), and then $w(t) \sim e^{\gamma\sqrt{t}}$ for some $\gamma > 0$. Note that, according to [37], if the geometric control condition fails, then the energy decay can be at an exponential rate for sufficiently smooth initial data (u_0, u_1). In many cases where the geometric control condition is not satisfied, (1.7) is stable at rate $r(t) = t^{-\beta}$ for some $\beta > 0$ (see e.g. [2]). Then $w(t) \sim (t/\log t)^\beta$. In other cases (see [18],[20]), the stability occurs at rate $r(t) = e^{-\beta\sqrt{t}}$ and this gives rise to $w(t) \sim e^{\gamma t^{1/3}}$ for a fixed $\gamma > 0$.

See also [2] for a recent account of these cases and a pertinent study of polynomial rates when $\mathcal{M}$ is the torus.

Remark 6.6. It is plausible that our results can be applied also to the study of local energy for damped wave equations on exterior domains. See, for example, [1], [25] and [14] where the operator-theoretic approach played a

role. However, this setting seems to require essential extra work in order to put it into our framework, and we do not consider it in this paper.

7. Optimality for semigroups

First we show that while L^p-rates for C_0-semigroups as in (6.4) are straightforward consequences of their L^∞-counterparts, nevertheless the estimate (6.4) is sharp in the case of polynomial rates.

Theorem 7.1. *Given $\alpha > 0$, $p \geq 1$, and a positive function $\gamma \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$, there exist a Banach space X_α, a bounded C_0-semigroup $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ on X_α with generator A, and a vector $f \in X_\alpha$, such that*

(a) $\sigma(A) \cap i\mathbb{R}$ *is empty and* $\|R(is, A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_\alpha)} = \mathrm{O}(|s|^\alpha), \qquad |s| \to \infty,$

(b) $\displaystyle\int_0^\infty \|T(t)f\|_{X_\alpha}^p dt < \infty,$

(c) $\displaystyle\int_0^\infty \|T(t)A^{-1}f\|_{X_\alpha}^p \Big(\frac{t}{\gamma(t)\log(t+2)}\Big)^{p/\alpha} dt = \infty.$

Proof. We use the Banach space X_α, and the semigroup $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ constructed in [12, Theorem 4.1]. To make our proof self-contained, we give the construction here.

Let $(S(t))_{t\geq 0}$ be the left shift semigroup on the space $\mathrm{BUC}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ of bounded, uniformly continuous, functions on $\mathbb{R}_+$, and let

$$\Omega := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}\lambda > -(1 + |\operatorname{Im}\lambda|)^{-\alpha}\},$$
$$\Omega_0 := \Omega \cap \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\operatorname{Re}\lambda| < 1\}.$$

Furthermore, let X_α be the space of functions $f \in \mathrm{BUC}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ such that the Laplace transform $\widehat{f}$ extends to an analytic function in Ω_0 (also denoted by $\widehat{f}$), and

$$|\widehat{f}(\lambda)|(1 + |\operatorname{Im}\lambda|)^{-\alpha} \to 0, \qquad |\lambda| \to \infty,\ \lambda \in \Omega_0. \tag{7.1}$$

Then X_α equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{X_\alpha} := \|f\|_\infty + \|f\|_\alpha := \|f\|_\infty + \sup_{\lambda\in\Omega_0} \frac{|\widehat{f}(\lambda)|}{(1 + |\operatorname{Im}\lambda|)^\alpha},$$

is a Banach space. Let $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ be the restriction of $(S(t))_{t\geq 0}$ to X_α. This is a bounded C_0-semigroup on X_α. To prove this assertion we start by fixing $t > 0$. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+$,

$$\widehat{T(t)f}(\lambda) = \int_t^\infty e^{-\lambda(s-t)} f(s)\, ds = e^{\lambda t}\widehat{f}(\lambda) - e^{\lambda t}\int_0^t e^{-\lambda s} f(s)\, ds. \tag{7.2}$$

Then $\widehat{T(t)f}$ can be extended to Ω_0 by the same formula. For $\lambda \in \Omega_0$, we have

$$|\widehat{T(t)f}(\lambda)| \leq e^{t\operatorname{Re}\lambda}|\widehat{f}(\lambda)| + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\operatorname{Re}\lambda}|f(s)|\, ds.$$

This and (7.1) tell us that

$$|\widehat{T(t)f}(\lambda)|(1+|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|)^{-\alpha}\to 0,\qquad |\lambda|\to\infty,\ \lambda\in\Omega_0,$$

so $T(t)f\in X_\alpha$. Furthermore, for $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}_+$ we have

$$|\widehat{T(t)f}(\lambda)|\operatorname{Re}\lambda\le\|f\|_\infty.$$

We obtain from this, together with (7.2) for $\lambda\in\Omega_0\cap\mathbb{C}_-$, that

$$|\widehat{T(t)f}(\lambda)|\le C\|f\|_{X_\alpha}\big[|\operatorname{Re}\lambda|^{-1}+(1+|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|)^\alpha\big],\qquad \lambda\in\Omega_0\setminus i\mathbb{R},$$

for some C which is independent of t. Applying Levinson's log-log theorem (see, for example, [26, Section VII.D7]) or, rather, its polynomial growth version [4, Lemma 4.6.6], to $\widehat{T(t)f}$ in the squares

$$\{\lambda:|\operatorname{Re}\lambda|<(s+2)^{-\alpha},\,|s-\operatorname{Im}\lambda|<(s+2)^{-\alpha}\},$$

we conclude that

$$\sup_{\lambda\in\Omega_0}|\widehat{T(t)f}(\lambda)|(1+|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|)^{-\alpha}\le C\|f\|_{X_\alpha},\tag{7.3}$$

for some C which is independent of t. Thus, $(T(t))_{t\ge0}$ is a bounded semigroup on X_α. Furthermore, by the definition of X_α and (7.2),

$$\|T(t)f-f\|_\alpha\to0,\qquad t\to0+,$$

and then

$$\|T(t)f-f\|_{X_\alpha}\to0,\qquad t\to0+.$$

So $(T(t))_{t\ge0}$ is a bounded C_0-semigroup on X_α. We define A to be its generator.

To establish the property (a), we have to show that the resolvent $R(\lambda,A)$ satisfies the estimate

$$\|R(\lambda,A)f\|_{X_\alpha}\le C\big(1+|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|\big)^\alpha\|f\|_{X_\alpha},\quad 0<\operatorname{Re}\lambda<1,\ f\in X_\alpha.\tag{7.4}$$

Fix λ with $\operatorname{Re}\lambda\in(0,1)$. For $t\ge0$, we have

$$\big(R(\lambda,A)f\big)(t)=\widehat{T(t)f}(\lambda).$$

By (7.3) we conclude that

$$\big\|R(\lambda,A)f\big\|_\infty\le C(1+|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|)^\alpha\big(\|f\|_\infty+\|f\|_\alpha\big),\quad 0<\operatorname{Re}\lambda<1.\tag{7.5}$$

A simple calculation shows that

$$(\widehat{R(\lambda,A)f})(\mu)=-\frac{\widehat f(\lambda)-\widehat f(\mu)}{\lambda-\mu},\qquad \operatorname{Re}\mu>1.$$

Therefore, $\widehat{R(\lambda,A)f}$ extends analytically to Ω_0, and

$$(\widehat{R(\lambda,A)f})(\mu)=\begin{cases}-\frac{\widehat f(\lambda)-\widehat f(\mu)}{\lambda-\mu}, & \lambda\ne\mu,\ \mu\in\Omega_0,\\ -\widehat f\,'(\mu), & \lambda=\mu.\end{cases}$$

To estimate $\|R(\lambda,A)f\|_\alpha$, take $\mu\in\Omega_0$. If $|\lambda-\mu|\ge 1$, then

$$|\widehat{(R(\lambda,A)f)}(\mu)|\le|\widehat{f}(\lambda)|+|\widehat{f}(\mu)|\le C(1+|\operatorname{Im}\mu|)^\alpha(1+|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|)^\alpha\|f\|_\alpha.$$

Otherwise $1+|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|^\alpha$ and $1+|\operatorname{Im}\mu|^\alpha$ are comparable. If

$$1>|\lambda-\mu|\ge\frac{1}{2(1+|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|)^\alpha},\quad \mu\in\Omega_0,$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\widehat{(R(\lambda,A)f)}(\mu)| &\le 2(1+|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|)^\alpha\big(|\widehat{f}(\lambda)|+|\widehat{f}(\mu)|\big)\\ &\le c(1+|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|)^\alpha(1+|\operatorname{Im}\mu|)^\alpha\|f\|_\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, if

$$|\lambda-\mu|\le\frac{1}{2(1+|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|)^\alpha},$$

then, applying Cauchy's formula on the circle $\{z\in\mathbb{C}:|z-\lambda|=\frac{2}{3}(1+|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|)^{-\alpha}\}$, we obtain that

$$|\widehat{(R(\lambda,A)f)}(\mu)|\le C(1+|\operatorname{Im}\mu|)^\alpha(1+|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|)^\alpha\|f\|_\alpha.$$

Thus,

$$\big\|R(\lambda,A)f\big\|_\alpha\le C(1+|\lambda|)^\alpha\|f\|_\alpha,\qquad 0<\operatorname{Re}\lambda<1. \tag{7.6}$$

The estimates (7.5) and (7.6) together give us (7.4), and it follows that (a) holds. Moreover, Theorem 1.4 shows that

$$A^{-1}f=\lim_{t\to\infty}\big(A^{-1}f-T(t)A^{-1}f\big)=-\int_0^\infty T(t)f\,dt, \tag{7.7}$$

for every $f\in X_\alpha$, where the integral may be improper.

To construct $f\in X_\alpha$ satisfying (b) and (c), we can assume that γ is increasing and that $\gamma(t)\ge t^{-1}$ for all $t\ge 1$. We shall use Proposition 5.2. For a complex measure μ on $\mathbb{C}\setminus\Omega$ with compact support, let $\mathcal{L}\mu$, $\mathcal{G}\mu$ and $\mathcal{N}\mu$ be defined by (5.2)–(5.4). For $f=\mathcal{L}\mu$, the integral in (7.7) is absolutely convergent and simple calculations show that

$$\widehat{T(t)\mathcal{L}\mu}(z)=\mathcal{G}\mu(t,z),\qquad (A^{-1}\mathcal{L}\mu)(t)=\mathcal{N}\mu(t).$$

By Proposition 5.2, there exist $\{k_n:n\in\mathbb{N}\}\subset\mathbb{N}$, and complex measures μ_n with compact support in $\mathbb{C}\setminus\Omega$ such that $k_n\to\infty$ as $n\to\infty$, and, for each $n\ge 1$,

(i) by (5.7) and (5.8), $f_n:=\mathcal{L}\mu_n\in X_\alpha$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\|T(t)f_n\|_{X_\alpha}&=\|T(t)\mathcal{L}\mu_n\|_\infty+\|\widehat{T(t)\mathcal{L}\mu_n}\|_\alpha\\ &=\|T(t)\mathcal{L}\mu_n\|_\infty+\|\mathcal{G}\mu_n(t,\cdot)\|_\alpha\\ &\le c\chi_{\{t:t\le 2k_n\}}+ce^{-\rho t},\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\|T(\cdot)f_n\|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+,X_\alpha)}=\int_0^\infty\|T(t)f_n\|^p_{X_\alpha}\,dt\le ck_n;$$

(ii) by (5.9), for $0 \le t \le k_n$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|T(t)A^{-1}f_n\|_\infty &\ge |(T(t)A^{-1}f_n)(k_n - t)| \\ &= |(A^{-1}f_n)(k_n)| = |\mathcal{N}\mu_n(k_n)| \ge c_1\Big(\frac{\log k_n}{k_n}\Big)^{1/\alpha},\end{aligned}$$

(iii) by (5.10),

$$\|T(t)A^{-1}f_n\|_\infty \le\ c_2\chi_{\{t:t\le 2k_n\}}\Big(\frac{\log k_n}{k_n}\Big)^{1/\alpha} + c_2e^{-\rho t}.$$

Passing to a subsequence and relabelling, we may assume that

$$k_1 \ge 3, \quad k_n \ge \max\left(3, \left(\frac{2c_2}{c_1}\right)^p\right) k_{n-1}\ (n \ge 2), \quad 2^n\gamma(2k_n/3)^{1/\alpha} \to 0.$$

Let

$$f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n}k_n^{-1/p}f_n.$$

Then

$$\|T(\cdot)f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+,X_\alpha)} \le \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n}k_n^{-1/p}\|T(\cdot)f_n\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+,X_\alpha)} < \infty.$$

Thus (b) holds.

For $2k_n/3 \le t \le k_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|T(t)A^{-1}f\|_\infty \\ &\quad\ge 2^{-n}k_n^{-1/p}\|T(t)A^{-1}f_n\|_\infty - \sum_{m\ne n} 2^{-m}k_m^{-1/p}\|T(t)A^{-1}f_m\|_\infty \\ &\quad\ge c_1 2^{-n}k_n^{-1/p}\left(\frac{\log k_n}{k_n}\right)^{1/\alpha} \\ &\qquad - c_2\sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} 2^{-m}k_m^{-1/p}\left(\frac{\log k_m}{k_m}\right)^{1/\alpha} - c_2\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} 2^{-m}k_m^{-1/p}e^{-\rho t} \\ &\quad\ge c_1 2^{-n}k_n^{-1/p}\left(\frac{\log k_n}{k_n}\right)^{1/\alpha} - c_2 2^{-n}k_{n+1}^{-1/p}\left(\frac{\log k_n}{k_n}\right)^{1/\alpha} - c_2e^{-\rho t} \\ &\quad\ge c_1 2^{-(n+1)}k_n^{-1/p}\left(\frac{\log k_n}{k_n}\right)^{1/\alpha} - c_2e^{-\rho t}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{2k_n/3}^{k_n} \big(\|T(t)A^{-1}f\|_{X_\alpha} + c_2e^{-\rho t}\big)^p \left(\frac{t}{\gamma(t)\log(t+2)}\right)^{p/\alpha} dt \\ &\quad\ge \frac{k_n}{3}c_1^p 2^{-p(n+1)}k_n^{-1}\left(\frac{\log k_n}{k_n}\right)^{p/\alpha}\left(\frac{2k_n/3}{\gamma(2k_n/3)\log(k_n+2)}\right)^{p/\alpha} \\ &\quad\to \infty.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\gamma(t) \geq t^{-1}$ for $t \geq 1$, we have that $t \mapsto e^{-\rho t}\Big(\frac{t}{\gamma(t)\log(t+2)}\Big)^{p/\alpha}$ is in $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$, and (c) follows. □

Analogously, for logarithmic rates, using Proposition 5.9 we obtain:

Theorem 7.2. *Given $\alpha > 0$ and $p \geq 1$, there exist $\gamma > 0$ and a Banach space X_α, a bounded C_0-semigroup $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ on X_α with generator A, and a vector $f \in X_\alpha$, such that*

(a) $\|R(is, A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_\alpha)} = \mathrm{O}\big((\log|s|)^\alpha\big), \qquad |s| \to \infty,$

(b) $\displaystyle\int_0^\infty \|T(t)f\|_{X_\alpha}^p dt < \infty,$

(c) $\displaystyle\int_0^\infty \|T(t)A^{-1}f\|_{X_\alpha}^p e^{\gamma t^{1/(\alpha+1)}}\, dt = \infty.$

Next, we show that Corollary 6.2 and hence Theorem 6.1 are optimal even on Hilbert spaces. Consider the left shift C_0-semigroup $(S(t))_{t\geq 0}$ on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$, with generator A. Given $\alpha > 0$, we again let $\Omega = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}\lambda > -1/(1 + |\operatorname{Im}\lambda|)^\alpha\}$.

Theorem 7.3. *Given $\alpha > 0$, $p \geq 1$, and a positive function $\gamma \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$, there exists $f \in X := L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ such that the following hold:*

(a) $\int_0^\infty \|S(t)f\|_X^p\, dt < \infty,$

(b) *$R(\cdot, A)f$ extends analytically to Ω, and its extension G satisfies*

$$\|G(\lambda)\|_X \leq C(1 + |\operatorname{Im}\lambda|)^{\alpha/2}, \qquad \lambda \in \Omega,$$

(c) $\displaystyle\int_0^\infty \|S(t)A^{-1}f\|_X^p \Big(\frac{t}{\gamma(t)\log(t+2)}\Big)^{p/\alpha} dt = \infty.$

Proof. The proof is similar to those of Theorems 5.1 and 7.1, and we omit some details. We use Proposition 5.2, for $\alpha/2 < \beta < \alpha/2 + \alpha/p$, to take a strictly increasing sequence $\{k_n : n \geq 1\} \subset \mathbb{N}$, complex measures μ_n with compact support in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$, and $\{w_n\} \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$, such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log|w_n|}{\log k_n} = \frac{1}{\alpha}, \qquad \operatorname{supp}\mu_n \subset \{z : |z - w_n| < 1\}, \tag{7.8}$$

and properties (5.7), (5.8), (5.9) and (5.10) hold for $\mu = \mu_n$ and $k = k_n$. Let $f_n := \mathcal{L}\mu_n$. Using (5.7) similarly to (5.29), we have that $f_n \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and

$$\|S(t)f_n\|_X = \|S(t)\mathcal{L}\mu_n\|_X \leq ck_n^{1/4}\chi_{\{t:t\leq 2k_n\}} + Ce^{-\rho t}, \qquad t \geq 0. \tag{7.9}$$

We have $R(\lambda, A)f_n = \mathcal{G}\mu_n(\cdot, \lambda)$ for $\operatorname{Re}\lambda > 0$. Putting $G_n(\lambda)(t) = \mathcal{G}\mu_n(t, \lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \Omega$, we obtain an analytic extension to a function $G_n : \Omega \to L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. For $\lambda \in \Omega$, (5.8), (7.8), and the fact that $\beta < \alpha/2 + \alpha/p$, give

$$\begin{aligned} \|G_n(\lambda)\|_X &\leq Ck_n^{1/4}\big(|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|^\beta \chi_{\{\lambda:|\lambda-w_n|<2\}} + 1\big) + C \\ &\leq Ck_n^{1/4+1/p}(1 + |\operatorname{Im}\lambda|)^{\alpha/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.10}$$

Also, $A^{-1}f_n = \mathcal{N}\mu_n$, and

$$\|S(t)A^{-1}f_n\|_X^2 = \int_0^\infty |\mathcal{N}\mu_n(s+t)|^2 ds.$$

By (5.9),

$$\|S(t)A^{-1}f_n\|_X \geq ck_n^{1/4}\Big(\frac{\log k_n}{k_n}\Big)^{1/\alpha}, \qquad t \leq k_n, \tag{7.11}$$

and by (5.10),

$$\|S(t)A^{-1}f_n\|_X \leq Ck_n^{1/4}\Big(\frac{\log k_n}{k_n}\Big)^{1/\alpha}\chi_{\{t:t\leq 2k_n\}} + Ce^{-\rho t}, \quad t \geq 0. \tag{7.12}$$

The remainder of the proof closely follows the corresponding part of the proof of Theorem 7.1. After passing to a suitable subsequence and relabelling, we let

$$f = \sum_{n\geq 0} 2^{-n}k_n^{-(1/p+1/4)}f_n.$$

Then (a) follows from (7.9), (b) follows from (7.10), and, finally, (c) follows from (7.11) and (7.12) if the subsequence increases sufficiently fast. □

References

[1] L. Aloui and M. Khenissi, *Stabilisation pour l'équation des ondes dans un domaine extérieur,* Rev. Math. Iberoamericana, **18** (2002), 1–16.

[2] N. Anantharaman and M. Léautaud, *Decay rates for the damped wave equation on the torus,* with appendix by S. Nonnenmacher, Analysis and PDEs, to appear; see arXiv:1210.6879.

[3] W. Arendt and C.J.K. Batty, *Tauberian theorems and stability of one-parameter semigroups,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **306** (1988), 837–852.

[4] W. Arendt, C.J.K. Batty, M. Hieber, and F. Neubrander, *Vector-valued Laplace Transforms and Cauchy Problems*, 2nd ed., Monographs in Mathematics, vol. 96, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2011.

[5] C. Bardos, G. Lebeau, and J. Rauch, *Sharp sufficient conditions for the observation, control, and stabilization of waves from the boundary,* SIAM J. Control Optim. **30** (1992), 1024–1065.

[6] A. Bátkai, K.-J. Engel, J. Prüss and R. Schnaubelt, *Polynomial stability of operator semigroups,* Math. Nachr. **279** (2006), 1425–1440.

[7] C.J.K. Batty, *Tauberian theorems for the Laplace–Stieltjes transform,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **322** (1990), 783–804.

[8] C.J.K. Batty, *Asymptotic behaviour of semigroups of operators,* in: Functional analysis and operator theory (Warsaw, 1992), vol. **30**, Banach Center Publ. Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 1994, pp. 35–52.

[9] C.J.K. Batty, R. Chill and Yu. Tomilov, *Fine scales of decay of operator semigroups,* J. of the European Math. Soc., to appear; see arXiv:1305.5365.

[10] C.J.K. Batty and T. Duyckaerts, *Non-uniform stability for bounded semi-groups on Banach spaces,* J. Evol. Eq. **8** (2008), 765–780.

[11] J.-F. Bony and V. Petkov, *Resolvent estimates and local energy decay for hyperbolic equations,* Ann. Univ. Ferrara Sez. VII Sci. Mat. **52** (2006), 233–246.

[12] A. Borichev and Yu. Tomilov, *Optimal polynomial decay of functions and operator semigroups,* Math. Ann. **347** (2010), 455–478.

[13] A. Böttcher and Yu.I. Karlovich, *Carleson curves, Muckenhoupt weights, and Toeplitz operators,* Progress in Mathematics 154, Basel, Birkhäuser, 1997.
[14] J.-M. Bouclet and J. Royer, *Local energy decay for the damped wave equation*, J. Funct. Anal. **266** (2014), 4538–4615.
[15] N. Burq, *Décroissance de l'énergie locale de l'équation des ondes pour le probléme extérieur et absence de résonance au voisinage du réel,* Acta Math. **180** (1998), 1–29.
[16] N. Burq and M. Hitrik, *Energy decay for damped wave equations on partially rectangular domains,* Math. Res. Letters **14** (2007), 35-47.
[17] R. Chill and Yu. Tomilov, *Stability of operator semigroups: ideas and results,* in: Perspectives in operator theory, vol. **75**, Banach Center Publ. Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 2007, 71–109.
[18] H. Christianson, *Semiclassical non-concentration near hyperbolic orbits,* J. Funct. Anal. **246** (2007), 145-195.
[19] H. Christianson, *Applications of the cutoff resolvent estimates to the wave equation,* Math. Res. Letters **16** (2009), 577–590.
[20] H. Christianson, *Corrigendum to Semiclassical non-concentration near hyperbolic orbits [J. Funct. Anal. 246 (2007), 145-195],* J. Funct. Anal., **258** (2010), 1060-1065.
[21] H. Christianson, E. Schenck, A. Vasy, and J. Wunsch, *From resolvent estimates to damped waves,* J. d'Analyse Mathematique, to appear.
[22] J. Garnett, *Bounded analytic functions,* Academic Press, 1981.
[23] A.E. Ingham, *On Wiener's method in Tauberian theorems*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) **38**, 458–480.
[24] J. Karamata, *Weiterführung der N. Wienerschen Methode,* Math. Z. **38** (1934), 701–708.
[25] M. Khenissi, *Équation des ondes amorties dans un domaine extérieur,* Bull. Soc. Math. France **131** (2003), 211–228.
[26] P. Koosis, *The Logarithmic Integral, Vol. I,* Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1988.
[27] P. Koosis, *Introduction to H_p-spaces*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, 1998.
[28] J. Korevaar, *Tauberian Theory. A century of developments*, Springer, Berlin, 2004.
[29] P.D. Lax, C.S. Morawetz, and R.S. Phillips, *Exponential decay of solutions of the wave equation in the exterior of a star-shaped obstacle,* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **16** (1963), 477–486.
[30] G. Lebeau, *Équation des ondes amorties,* in: Algebraic and geometric methods in mathematical physics (Kaciveli, 1993), vol. 19 of Math. Phys. Stud. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1996, 73–109.
[31] G. Lebeau and L. Robbiano, *Stabilisation de l'équation des ondes par le bord,* Duke Math. J. **86** (1997), 465–491.
[32] Z. Liu and B. Rao, *Characterization of polynomial decay rate for the solution of linear evolution equation,* Z. Angew. Math. Phys. **56** (2005), 630–644.
[33] M. Martínez, *Decay estimates of functions through singular extensions of vector-valued Laplace transforms,* J. Math. Anal. Appl. **375** (2011), 196–206.
[34] J.M.A.M. van Neerven, *The asymptotic behaviour of semigroups of linear operators*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications 88, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1996.
[35] D.J. Newman, *Simple analytic proof of the prime number theorem,* Amer. Math. Monthly **87** (1980), 693–696.
[36] J. Rauch and M. Taylor, *Exponential decay of solutions to hyperbolic equations in bounded domains,* Indiana Univ. Math. J., **24** (1974), 79–86.
[37] E. Schenck, *Exponential stabilization without geometric control,* Math. Res. Lett., **18** (2011), 379–388.

[38] S. Yakubov and Ya. Yakubov, *Differential-operator equations. Ordinary and partial differential equations,* Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, **103**, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2000.

St. John's College, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3JP, United Kingdom
E-mail address: charles.batty@sjc.ox.ac.uk

Institut de Mathématiques de Marseille, Aix Marseille Université, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, 39 rue F. Joliot-Curie, 13453 Marseille, France
E-mail address: borichev@cmi.univ-mrs.fr

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Nicolas Copernicus University, ul. Chopina 12/18, 87-100 Torun, Poland and Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Śniadeckich str.8, 00-956 Warsaw, Poland
E-mail address: tomilov@mat.umk.pl